
EC02TCF-NC





U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration U.S. CENSUS BUREAU



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared in the Service Sector Statistics Division under the direction of **Thomas E. Zabelsky**, Assistant Division Chief for Current Service and Transportation Programs. Planning, implementation, and compiling of this report were under the supervision of **John L. Fowler**, Chief, Commodity Flow Survey Branch, assisted by **Bruce Dembroski**, **Marilyn Quiles Amaya**, **Debra Corbett**, **Shirley Gray**, **Stephanie Groth**, **Michael Jones**, **Mabel Ocasio**, **Bonnie Opalko**, **Joyce Price**, and **Barbara Selinske**.

Sample design and statistical methodology were developed under the direction of **Ruth E. Detlefsen**, Assistant Division Chief, Research and Methodology. Sample design and estimation were developed under the supervision of **Jock Black**, Chief, Program Research and Development Branch, assisted by **William C. Davie Jr., Jacklyn R. Jonas, Brett Moore, M. Cristina Cruz,** and **Michael Beaghen.** Frame construction, status change, editing, and imputation procedures were developed under the supervision of **Carol King**, Chief, Statistical Methods Branch, assisted by **David Kinyon, Anthony Myers**, and **Quatracia Williams**.

The processing system and computer programs were developed and implemented by the Economic Statistical Methods and Programming Division, under the direction of **Barry F. Sessamen**, Assistant Division Chief for Post Collection, assisted by **Steven G. McCraith**, Chief, Census Related Surveys Branch, **Joy McLaughlin**, **John Nelson**, **Duc-Mong Nguyen**, and **Edna Vega**.

The Systems Support Division provided the table composition system. **Robert Joseph Brown**, Table Image Processing System (TIPS) Senior Software Engineer, was responsible for the design and development of the TIPS, under the supervision of **Robert J. Bateman**, Assistant Division Chief, Information Systems.

Coordination of data collection efforts was under the direction of National Processing Center, **Judith N. Petty,** Chief, assisted by **Carlene Bottorff, Linda Broadus, Sandra Hurst, Debbie Woods, Debbie Hamilton,** and **Michael Lutz.** 

**Margaret A. Smith** and **Michael T. Browne** of the Administrative and Customer Services Division, **Walter C. Odom,** Chief, provided publications and printing management, graphics design and composition, and editorial review for print and electronic media. General direction and production management were provided by **James R. Clark,** Assistant Division Chief, and **Susan L. Rappa,** Chief, Publications Services Branch.

The Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) of the Department of Transportation played a major role in all aspects of the Commodity Flow Survey. **Jack Wells**, Chief Economist, assisted with program planning and oversight. Survey methodology, design, and implementation were conducted under the direction of **Michael P. Cohen**, Assistant Director for Survey Programs assisted by BTS staff: **Mike Margreta**, **Ronald J. Duych**, **Joy Sharp**, **Julie Smith**, **Irwin Silberman**, **Promod Chandhok**, **Hossain Sanjani**, and **Scott Dennis**. **Felix Ammah-Tagoe** and **Adhi Dipo** of MacroSys Research and Technology assisted BTS in various aspects of the survey. **Frank Southworth**, **Shih-Miao Chin**, and **Bruce Peterson** of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, provided support to BTS staff in performing the mileage calculations for the survey.

Special acknowledgment is also due to the many businesses whose cooperation has contributed to the publication of these data.

EC02TCF-NC

#### **2002 Economic Census**

Transportation 2002 Commodity Flow Survey





U.S. Department of Transportation Norman Y. Mineta, Secretary

**Kirk K. Van Tine,** Deputy Secretary

BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS Rick Kowalewski, Deputy Director



U.S. Department of Commerce Donald L. Evans,

Secretary

**Theodore W. Kassinger,**Deputy Secretary

Economics and Statistics Administration Kathleen B. Cooper,

Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

U.S. CENSUS BUREAU Charles Louis Kincannon, Director



**Economics** and Statistics Administration Kathleen B. Cooper, **Under Secretary** for Economic Affairs



## **U.S. CENSUS BUREAU** Charles Louis Kincannon,

Director

Hermann Habermann, Deputy Director and Chief Operating Officer

Vacant,

Principal Associate Director for Programs

Frederick T. Knickerbocker,

Associate Director for Economic Programs

Thomas L. Mesenbourg, **Assistant Director** for Economic Programs

Mark E. Wallace, Chief, Service Sector Statistics Division



#### **BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS**

Rick Kowalewski,

**Deputy Director** 

Mary J. Hutzler,

Associate Director for Statistical Programs

William J. Chang,

Associate Director for Information Systems

### CONTENTS

	oduction to the Economic Census	۷ ki
Tabl	les	
1a.	Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of	4
1b.	Origin: 2002Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997	1
2.	Shipment Characteristics by Total Modal Activity for State of Origin: 2002	2
3.	Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002	3
4.	Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002	6
5a.	Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: 2002	ç
5b.	Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997	10
6.	Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002	11
7.	Outbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Destination for State of Origin: 2002	26
8.	Inbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Origin for State of Destination: 2002	27
9.	Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002 and 1997	30
10.	Shipment Characteristics by Commodity Group for State of Origin: 2002 and 1997	30
App	endixes	
A. B. C. D.	Comparability With the 1997 Commodity Flow Survey Reliability of the Estimates	A-1 B-1 C-1

## Introduction to the Economic Census

#### **PURPOSES AND USES OF THE ECONOMIC CENSUS**

The economic census is the major source of facts about the structure and functioning of the Nation's economy. It provides essential information for government, business, industry, and the general public. Title 13 of the United States Code (Sections 131, 191, and 224) directs the Census Bureau to take the economic census every 5 years, covering years ending in "2" and "7".

The economic census furnishes an important part of the framework for such composite measures as the gross domestic product estimates, input/output measures, production and price indexes, and other statistical series that measure short-term changes in economic conditions. Specific uses of economic census data include the following:

- Policymaking agencies of the federal government use the data to monitor economic activity and to assess the effectiveness of policies.
- State and local governments use the data to assess business activities and tax bases within their jurisdictions and to develop programs to attract business.
- Trade associations study trends in their own and competing industries, which allows them to keep their members informed of market changes.
- Individual businesses use the data to locate potential markets and to analyze their own production and sales performance relative to industry or area averages.

#### **BASIS OF REPORTING**

The economic census is conducted on an establishment basis. A company operating at more than one location is required to file a separate report for each store, factory, shop, or other location. Each establishment is assigned a separate industry classification based on its primary activity and not that of its parent company.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF ADDITIONAL DATA**

All results of the 2002 Economic Census are available on the Census Bureau Internet site (www.census.gov) and on compact discs and digital versatile discs (CD-ROMs and DVD-ROMs) for sale by the Census Bureau. The American FactFinder system at the Web site allows selective retrieval and downloading of the data. For more information, including a description of reports being issued, see the Web site, write to the U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233-8300, or call Customer Services at 301-763-4636.

#### HISTORICAL INFORMATION

The economic census has been taken as an integrated program at 5-year intervals since 1967 and before that for 1954, 1958, and 1963. Prior to that time, individual components of the economic census were taken separately at varying intervals.

The economic census traces its beginnings to the 1810 Decennial Census, when questions on manufacturing were included with those for population. Coverage of economic activities was expanded for the 1840 Decennial Census and subsequent censuses to include mining and some commercial activities. The 1905 Manufactures Census was the first time a census was taken apart from the regular decennial population census. Censuses covering retail and wholesale trade and construction industries were added in 1930, as were some service trades in 1933.

Censuses of construction, manufacturing, and the other business service censuses were suspended during World War II.

The 1954 Economic Census was the first census to be fully integrated, providing comparable census data across economic sectors and using consistent time periods, concepts, definitions, classifications, and reporting units. It was the first census to be taken by mail, using lists of firms provided by the administrative records of other Federal agencies. Since 1963, administrative records also have been used to provide basic statistics for very small firms, reducing or eliminating the need to send them census report forms.

The range of industries covered in the economic censuses expanded between 1967 and 2002. The census of construction industries began on a regular basis in 1967, and the scope of service industries, introduced in 1933, was broadened in 1967, 1977, and 1987. While a few transportation industries were covered as early as 1963, it was not until 1992 that the census broadened to include all of transportation, communications, and utilities. Also new for 1992 was coverage of financial, insurance, and real estate industries. With these additions, the economic census and the separate census of governments and census of agriculture collectively covered roughly 98 percent of all economic activity. New for 2002 is coverage of four industries classified in the Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing sector under the SIC system: landscape agricultural services, landscaping services, veterinary services, and pet care services.

Printed statistical reports from the 1997 and earlier censuses provide historical figures for the study of long-term time series and are available in some large libraries. CD-ROMs issued from the 1987, 1992, and 1997 Economic Censuses contain databases including all or nearly all data published in print, plus additional statistics, such as ZIP Code statistics, published only on CD-ROM.

#### SOURCES FOR MORE INFORMATION

More information about the scope, coverage, classification system, data items, and publications for each of the economic censuses and related surveys is published in the Guide to the 2002 Economic Census at www.census.gov/epcd/ec02/guide.html. More information on the methodology, procedures, and history of the censuses will be published in the History of the 2002 Economic Census at www.census.gov/econ/www/history.html.

## 2002 Commodity Flow Survey

#### **GENERAL**

The 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) is undertaken through a partnership between the U.S. Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce, and the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), U.S. Department of Transportation. This survey produces data on the movement of goods in the United States. It provides information on commodities shipped, their value, weight, and mode of transportation, as well as the origin and destination of shipments of manufacturing, mining, wholesale, and select retail establishments. The data from the CFS are used by public policy analysts and for transportation planning and decision making to assess the demand for transportation facilities and services, energy use, and safety risk and environmental concerns. The CFS was last conducted in 1997.

This report contains background information on the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey and then presents detailed tabular results on shipment characteristics by mode of transportation, commodity, distance shipped, and shipment weight. In Appendix A, key characteristics of the 2002 CFS are compared to those of the 1993 and 1997 surveys. Appendix B focuses on the reliability of the estimates and discusses sampling and nonsampling errors. Tables containing estimates of sampling variability corresponding to each table on shipment characteristics are also included in Appendix B.

This report presents data at the state level. Additional reports will include data for the United States, census regions, divisions, and selected metropolitan areas, as well as selected data on exports and hazardous material shipments.

#### **INDUSTRY COVERAGE**

The 2002 CFS covers business establishments with paid employees that are located in the United States and are classified using the 1997 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) in mining, manufacturing, wholesale trade, and select retail trade industries, namely, electronic shopping and mail-order houses. Establishments classified in services, transportation, construction, and most retail industries are excluded from the survey. Farms, fisheries, foreign establishments, and most government-owned establishments are also excluded.

The survey also covers auxiliary establishments (i.e., warehouses and managing offices) of multi-establishment companies, which have nonauxiliary establishments that are in-scope to the CFS or are classified in retail trade. The coverage of managing offices has been expanded in the 2002 CFS, compared to the 1997 CFS. For the 1997 CFS, the number of in-scope managing offices was reduced to a large extent based on the results of the 1992 Economic Census. A managing office was considered in-scope to the 1997 CFS only if it had sales or end-of-year inventories in the 1992 Census. However, research conducted prior to the 2002 CFS showed that not all managing offices with shipping activity in the 1997 CFS indicated sales or inventories in the 1997 Economic Census. Therefore, the 1997 Economic Census results were not used in the determination of scope for managing offices in the 2002 CFS.

For the 1993 CFS and the 1997 CFS, establishments were classified based on the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System (SIC). Though an attempt was made to maintain similar coverage between the 1997 CFS and the 2002 CFS, there were some changes in industry coverage due to the conversion from SIC to NAICS. Most notably, coverage of the logging industry changed from an in-scope Manufacturing SIC code (SIC 2411) to an out-of-scope Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting NAICS code (NAICS 1133). Also, coverage of the publishing industry changed from in-scope Manufacturing SIC codes (SIC 2711, 2721, 2731, 2741, and part of 2771) to out-of-scope Information NAICS codes (NAICS 5111 and 51223).

See Appendix A for a comparison between the 2002, 1997, and 1993 surveys. Also see Appendix C for a more detailed discussion on industry coverage and the sample design.

The NAICS industries covered in the 2002 CFS are listed in the following table:

NAICS code	Description
212	Mining (Except Oil and Gas)
311 312 313 314 315 316	Food Manufacturing Beverage and Tobacco Product Manufacturing Textile Mills Textile Product Mills Apparel Manufacturing Leather and Allied Product Manufacturing
321 322 323 324 325 326 327	Wood Product Manufacturing Paper Manufacturing Printing and Related Support Activities Petroleum and Coal Products Manufacturing Chemical Manufacturing Plastics and Rubber Products Manufacturing Nonmetallic Mineral Product Manufacturing
331 332 333 334 335 336 337 339	Primary Metal Manufacturing Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing Machinery Manufacturing Computer and Electronic Product Manufacturing Electrical Equipment, Appliance, and Component Manufacturing Transportation Equipment Manufacturing Furniture and Related Product Manufacturing Miscellaneous Manufacturing
421 422	Wholesale Trade, Durable Goods Wholesale Trade, Nondurable Goods
4541	Electronic Shopping and Mail-Order Houses
49310	Warehousing and Storage
551114	Corporate, Subsidiary, and Regional Managing Offices

#### SHIPMENT COVERAGE

The CFS captures data on shipments originating from select types of business establishments located in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The data do not cover shipments originating from business establishments located in Puerto Rico and other U.S. possessions and territories. Shipments traversing the U.S. from a foreign location to another foreign location (e.g., from Canada to Mexico) are not included, nor are shipments from a foreign location to a U.S. location. Imported products are included in the CFS at the point that they left the importer's domestic location for shipment to another location. Shipments that are shipped through a foreign territory with both the origin and destination in the U.S. are included in the CFS data. The mileages calculated for these shipments exclude the international segments (e.g., shipments from New York to Michigan through Canada do not include any mileages for Canada). Export shipments are included, with the domestic destination defined as the U.S. port, airport, or border crossing of exit from the U.S.

The "Industry Coverage" section of the text lists the NAICS groups covered by the CFS. Other industry areas that are not covered, but may have significant shipping activity, include agriculture and government. For agriculture, specifically, this means that the CFS does not cover shipments of agricultural products from the farm site to the processing centers or terminal elevators (most likely short-distance local movements), but does cover the shipments of these products from the initial processing centers or terminal elevators onward.

#### **MILEAGE CALCULATIONS**

To estimate the distance traveled by each freight shipment sampled for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey, the BTS Mileage Calculation Team used routing algorithms and an integrated, intermodal transportation network developed and updated expressly for this purpose by the Oak Ridge

National Laboratory (ORNL). The BTS Team worked at a secure data site within the Census Bureau. Each record contained the ZIP Code shipment origin and destination, and the mode or modal sequence required by the routing algorithm for distance estimation. Each record also contained information on type of commodity moved, its weight, dollar value, and hazardous materials status. For export shipments, data on the U.S. port of exit were also identified, along with foreign destination city and country. Processing of shipment records began in the fall of 2002, with completion in October 2003.

One essential exercise was editing and imputing both absent and invalid geographic data elements, specifically origin and destination ZIP Codes, prior to estimating the distance traveled for each freight shipment. For this purpose, the BTS Mileage Calculation Team developed and maintained databases of domestic city/state names and foreign city/country names. The missing data elements, along with other related data problems found by the BTS Team, were either: (1) imputed because of high probability of accurate correction by the BTS Team, such as imputing a missing destination ZIP Code, given a destination city and state; or (2) reported back to the Census Bureau, allowing for call-backs to shippers for clarification/correction.

For a domestic shipment, the mileage is calculated between the center of the geographic area (centroid) of the U.S. origin ZIP Code and the centroid of the destination ZIP Code. The mileage for the shipments within a ZIP Code is calculated by means of a formula that approximates the longest distance within the boundaries of that ZIP Code. The mileage for an export shipment is calculated between a shipments centroid of U.S. origin ZIP Code and its foreign destination country (city in the case of Canada and Mexico), via a U.S. port of exit (POE), be it seaport, airport, or border crossing. However, only the portion of mileage that falls within the U.S. is included in the CFS estimates. That is to say, once the export reaches the POE, the POE is considered the final domestic destination, the domestic route is finished, and any following mileage is not counted from the POE. These mileages are computed using routing algorithms that find the minimum impedance path over mathematical representations of the U.S. and North American highway, railway and waterway networks, and a transglobal representation of U.S. originating air freight and deep-sea transport networks. Shipment mileages were estimated for each record by summing over the distances of links contained within each minimum impedance path. Impedance was computed as a weighted combination of distance, time, and cost factors.

The ORNL multimodal network database is composed of mode-specific subnetworks representing each of the major transportation modes, such as highway, railway, waterway, and airway (pipeline network was not available due to security reasons). The links of these networks represent linehaul transportation facilities. Network nodes represent intersections and interchanges, along with the access points to the transportation network. To simulate local access, test links are created from each five-digit ZIP Code centroid to nearby nodes on the network. For the truck network, local access is assumed to exist everywhere. For the other modes this is not true. Before any test links are created for these modes, a search procedure is used to determine if and where such networks are most likely to provide access to the ZIP Code. For shipments involving more than one mode, such as truck-rail or rail-water shipments, intermodal transfer links are added to the network database to connect the individual modal networks together for routing purposes. An intermodal terminals database and a number of terminal transfer models were developed at ORNL to identify likely transfer points for different classes of freight. A measure of link impedance was calculated for each access, line-haul, and intermodal transfer link traversed by a shipment. These impedances were mode specific and are based on various link characteristics. For example, the set of links characterizing the highway network included speed impacting factors, such as the presence of a divided or undivided roadway, the degree of access control, the rural or urban setting, the number of lanes, the degree of urban congestion, and the length of the link. Link impedance measures were also assigned to the local access links. Intermodal transfer link impedances are estimated in terms of the time it takes to move goods through a transfer facility. In the case of rail and air freight, intercarrier transfer penalties were also considered to obtain proper route selections. A shortest path algorithm is used to find the minimum impedance path between a shipment's origin ZIP Code centroid and destination ZIP Code centroid. The cumulative length of

the local access plus line-haul links on this path provides the estimated distances used in CFS mileage computations. When rail and air freight were involved, these shipment distances were often averaged over more than one path between an origin-destination pair.

#### **Mileage Data for Pipeline Shipments**

For pipeline shipments, ton-miles and average miles per shipment are not shown in the tables. For most of these shipments, the respondents reported the shipment destination as a pipeline facility on the main pipeline network. Therefore, for the majority of these shipments, the resulting mileage represented only the access distance through feeder pipelines to the main pipeline network, and not the actual distance through the main pipeline network. Pipeline shipments are included in the U.S. totals for ton-miles and average miles per shipment.

For security purposes, there is no pipeline network available in the public domain with which to route petroleum-based products. Hence, any modal distance, either single or multi, involving pipeline was considered as solely pipeline mileage from origin ZIP to destination ZIP and calculated to equal great circle distance (GCD). Note: Great circle distance is defined as the shortest distance between two points on the earth's surface, taking into account the earth's curvature.

#### **EXPLANATION OF TERMS**

**Value of shipments.** The dollar value of the entire shipment. This was defined as the net selling value, f.o.b. plant, exclusive of freight charges and excise taxes. The value data are displayed in millions of dollars.

The total value of shipments, as measured by the CFS, and the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) while similar in size provide different measures of economic activity in the United States and are not directly comparable. GDP is the value of all goods produced and services performed by labor and capital located in the United States. In 2002, the U.S. GDP was estimated at \$10.4 trillion (measured in current U.S. dollars). The value of shipments, as measured by the CFS, is the market value of goods shipped from manufacturing, mining, wholesale, and mail order retail establishments, as well as warehouses and managing offices of multiunit establishments.

Three important differences can be identified between GDP and value of shipments:

- 1. GDP captures goods produced by all establishments located in the United States, while the CFS measures goods shipped from a subset of all goods-producing establishments.
- 2. GDP measures the value of goods produced and of services performed. CFS measures the value of goods shipped.
- 3. GDP counts only the value-added at each step in the production of a product. CFS captures the value of shipments of materials used to produce or manufacture a product, as well as the value of shipments of the finished product itself. This means that the value of the materials used to produce a particular product contributes multiple times to the value.

**Commodity.** Products that an establishment produces, sells, or distributes. This does not include items that are considered as excess or byproducts of the establishment's operation. Respondents reported the description and the five-digit Standard Classification of Transported Goods (SCTG) code for the major commodity contained in the shipment, defined as the commodity with the greatest weight in the total shipment.

**Average miles per shipment.** For the 1993 CFS, we excluded shipments of Standard Transportation Commodity Classification (STCC) 27, Printed Matter, from our calculation of average miles per shipment. We made this decision after determining that respondents in the 1993 CFS shipping newspapers, magazines, catalogs, etc., had used widely varying definitions of the term "shipment."

For the 1997 and 2002 CFS, we made numerous efforts throughout our data collection and editing to produce consistent results from establishments shipping SCTG 29, Printed Products. As a result, we have included printed products in the average miles per shipment estimates for 1997 and 2002.

**Distance shipped.** In Table 3, shipment data are presented for various "distance shipped" intervals. Shipments were categorized into these "distance shipped" intervals based on the great circle distance between their origin and destination ZIP Code centroids. All other distance-related data in this and other tables (i.e., ton-miles and average miles per shipment) are based on the mileage calculations. (See the "Mileage Calculations" section for more details.)

**Great circle distance.** The shortest distance between two points on the surface of a sphere over the surface of that sphere.

**Mode of transportation.** The type of transportation used for moving the shipment to its domestic destination. For exports, the domestic destination was the port of exit.

#### **Mode Definitions**

In the instructions to the respondent, we defined the possible modes as follows:

- 1. **Parcel delivery/courier/U.S. Postal Service.** Delivery services that carry letters, parcels, packages, and other small shipments that typically weigh less than 100 pounds. Includes bus parcel delivery service.
- 2. **Private truck.** Trucks operated by a temporary or permanent employee of an establishment or the buyer/receiver of the shipment.
- 3. **For-hire truck.** Trucks that carry freight for a fee collected from the shipper, recipient of the shipment, or an arranger of the transportation.
- 4. **Railroad.** Any common carrier or private railroad.
- 5. **Shallow draft vessels.** Barges, ships, or ferries operating primarily on rivers and canals; in harbors, the Great Lakes, the Saint Lawrence Seaway; the Intra-coastal Waterway, the Inside Passage to Alaska, major bays and inlets; or in the ocean close to the shoreline.
- 6. **Deep draft vessel.** Barges, ships, or ferries operating primarily in the open ocean. Shipping on the Great Lakes and the Saint Lawrence Seaway is classified with shallow draft vessels.
- 7. Pipeline. Movements of oil, petroleum, gas, slurry, etc., through pipelines that extend to other establishments or locations beyond the shipper's establishment. Aqueducts for the movement of water are not included.
- 8. Air. Commercial or private aircraft, and all air service for shipments that typically weigh more than 100 pounds. Includes air freight and air express.
- 9. Other mode. Any mode not listed above.
- 10. **Unknown.** The shipment was not carried by a parcel delivery/courier/U.S. Postal Service, and the respondent could not determine what mode of transportation was used.

In the tables, we have used additional terms for mode, which we define as follows:

- 1. Air (includes truck and air). Shipments that used air or a combination of truck and air.
- 2. Single modes. Shipments using only one of the above-listed modes, except parcel or other and unknown.
- 3. **Multiple modes.** Shipments for which two or more of the following modes of transportation were used:

Private truck For-hire truck Rail Shallow draft vessel Deep draft vessel **Pipeline** 

In addition, Parcel, U.S. Postal Service, or Courier shipments are considered multiple modes because this category includes all parcel shipments whether on the ground or via air tendered to a parcel or express carrier. In defining this mode, we did not combine these shipments with any other reported mode because by their nature, Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or Courier are already multimodal. For example, if the respondent reported a shipment's mode of transportation as "parcel" and "air," we treated the shipment as parcel only. Also in the CFS reports, the "Truck and Rail" and "Rail and Water" combinations included under "Multiple Modes" may not reflect all the movement of trailers or containers by rail and at least one other mode of transportation. Since the shipper may not always know the modal combinations used to transport the goods, some shipments moving by more than one mode may be reported as a single mode shipment. This may result in underestimation of multimodal shipments in the CFS.

- 4. **Other multiple modes.** Shipments using any other mode combinations not specifically listed in the tables.
- 5. **Other and unknown modes.** Shipments for which modes were not reported, or were reported by the respondent as "Other" or "Unknown."
- 6. **Truck.** Shipments using for-hire truck only, private truck only, or a combination of for-hire truck and private truck.
- 7. **Water.** Shipments using shallow draft vessel only, deep draft vessel only, or Great Lakes vessel only. Combinations of these modes, such as shallow draft vessel and Great Lakes vessel are included as "Other multiple modes." (Note: By definition, "shallow draft," "Great Lakes," and "deep draft" are mutually exclusive.)
- 8. **Great Lakes.** In the tables in this publication, "Great Lakes" appears as a single mode. ORNL's transportation network and mileage calculation system allowed for separate mileage calculations for Great Lakes between the origin and destination ZIP Codes.

#### **Other Definitions and Terms**

**Shipment.** A shipment is a single movement of goods, commodities, or products from an establishment to a single customer or to another establishment owned or operated by the same company as the originating establishment (e.g., a warehouse, distribution center, or retail or wholesale outlet). Full or partial truckloads are counted as a single shipment only if all commodities on the truck are destined for the same location. If a truck makes multiple deliveries on a route, the goods delivered at each stop are counted as one shipment. Interoffice memos, payroll checks, or business correspondence are not considered shipments. Shipments such as refuse, scrap paper, waste, or recyclable materials are not considered shipments unless the establishment is in the business of selling or providing these materials.

**Standard Classification of Transported Goods (SCTG).** The commodities shown in this report are classified using the SCTG coding system. The SCTG coding system was developed jointly by agencies of the United States and Canadian governments based on the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System (Harmonized System) to address statistical needs in regard to products transported. See Appendix D for more details.

**Ton-miles.** The shipment weight multiplied by the mileage traveled by the shipment. The respondents reported shipment weight in pounds. Aggregated pound-miles were converted to ton-miles. Mileage was calculated as the distance between the shipment origin and destination ZIP Codes. For shipments by truck, rail, or shallow draft vessels, the mileage excludes international segments. For example, mileages from Alaska to the continental United States exclude any mileages through Canada (see the "Mileage Calculations" section for more details). For trucks making multiple stops, the ton-miles are calculated for each delivery, and each drop-off point is treated as a final destination. Ton-miles estimates are displayed in millions.

**Tons shipped.** The total weight of the entire shipment. Respondents reported the weight in pounds. Aggregated pounds were converted to short-tons (2,000 pounds). For freight shipped to distribution centers for subsequent reshipment, the tonnage is counted each time the goods are transported.

**Total modal activity (Table 2 only).** The overall activity (e.g., ton-miles) of a specific mode of transportation, whether used in a single-mode shipment, or as part of a multiple-mode shipment. For example, the total modal activity for private truck is the total ton-miles carried by private truck in single-mode shipments, combined with the total ton-miles carried by private truck in all multiple-mode shipments that include private truck (private truck and for-hire truck, private truck and rail, private truck and air, etc.)

#### **ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS**

The following abbreviations and symbols are used in the tables for this publication:

- Represents an estimate equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
- D Denotes estimates withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies.
- S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.
- CFS Commodity Flow Survey.
- lb Pounds.
- n.e.c. Not elsewhere classified.
- NA Not applicable.

#### OTHER TRANSPORTATION DATA

Users of transportation data may be especially interested in the following reports:

**Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey** covers state and U.S. level statistics on the physical and operational characteristics of the nation's truck, van, minivan, and sport utility vehicle population. Some of the types of data collected include number of vehicles, major use, body type, annual miles, model year, vehicle size, fuel type, operator classification, engine size, range of operation, weeks operated, products carried, and hazardous materials carried. This survey shows comparative statistics reflecting percent changes in number of vehicles between 2002 and 1997 for most characteristics.

**Service Annual Survey** covers firms with paid employees that provide commercial motor freight transportation and public warehousing services. Data collected include operating revenue and operating revenue by source, percentage of motor carrier freight revenue by commodity type, size of shipments handled, length of haul, and vehicle fleet inventory.

For more information on any Census Bureau product, including a description of electronic and printed reports being issued, see the Web site or call Customer Services at 301-763-INFO (4636).

### Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Valu	ie	To	ons	Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
Mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
Total	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0	487
Single modes	270 923	92.3	266 387	96.5	45 933	97.5	259
Truck <sup>2</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	264 443 164 586 98 966	90.1 56.1 33.7	241 308 101 894 133 901	87.4 36.9 48.5	39 049 30 376 8 322	82.9 64.5 17.7	250 595 70
Rail	2 772	.9	S	S	6 640	14.1	462
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	59 62 —
Deep draft	S	S	S	S	S	S	3
Air (includes truck and air)	2 825 S	1.0 S	77 S	- S	94 S	.2 S	1 222 S
Multiple modes	16 265	5.5	801	.3	695	1.5	783
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	15 846 332 87 —	5.4 .1 - -	662 107 31 - -	.2 - - - -	460 163 S - -	1.0 .3 S -	782 1 733 4 969 — —
Other and unknown modes	6 416	2.2	8 816	3.2	460	1.0	76

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

### Table 1b. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Made of transportation	Value (p	percent)	Tons (p	Tons (percent) Ton-miles1 (percent)		
Mode of transportation	2002	1997	2002	1997	2002	1997
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single modes	92.3	90.8	96.5	98.6	97.5	96.1
Truck <sup>2</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	90.1 56.1 33.7	87.3 55.6 30.6	87.4 36.9 48.5	92.9 35.0 54.5	82.9 64.5 17.7	83.4 54.0 28.5
Rail	.9	.9	s	4.5	14.1	11.9
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	\$ \$ - \$	S S - -	\$ \$	S S - -	\$ \$ \$	S S - -
Air (includes truck and air)	1.0 S	2.4 .1	- S	_ .5	.2 S	.2 S
Multiple modes	5.5	6.8	.3	.3	1.5	1.4
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	5.4 .1 - -	6.7 .1 S - S	.2 - - - -	.2 - S - S	1.0 .3 S - -	.7 .6 S - S
Other and unknown modes	2.2	2.4	3.2	1.1	1.0	2.5

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. <sup>3</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at www.census.gov/cfs.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. <sup>3</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

## Shipment Characteristics by Total Modal Activity for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Ton-r		
Mode of transportation <sup>1</sup>	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
Total	47 088	100.0	487
Truck Rail Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	39 049 6 640 S - S	82.9 14.1 S - S	250 462 62 - 3
Air Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Pipeline <sup>3</sup> Other and unknown modes	94 S S 460	.2 S S 1.0	1 222 S S 76

<sup>1</sup>Estimates represent activity for a given mode across single and multiple mode shipments. For example, "Truck" ton-miles includes total ton-miles for shipments moving only by truck plus ton-miles for truck segments of multiple mode shipments. 
<sup>2</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information.

<sup>3</sup>Estimates exclude shipments of crude petroleum (SCTG 16).

Note: The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs">www.census.gov/cfs</a>.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

## Table 3. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 commonly flow ourve		lue	_	ons	Ton-ı	miles <sup>2</sup>
Mode of transportation and distance shipped <sup>1</sup> (based on Great Circle Distance)	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent
Total	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	76 620 32 452 52 064 57 284 39 510	26.1 11.1 17.7 19.5 13.5	160 607 43 195 32 890 18 488 11 867	58.2 15.7 11.9 6.7 4.3	3 347 4 733 6 384 8 579 9 092	7.1 10.1 13.6 18.2 19.3
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	11 157 8 903 3 972 11 642	3.8 3.0 1.4 4.0	2 726 3 129 788 2 316	1.0 1.1 .3 .8	2 891 4 292 1 641 6 129	6.1 9.1 3.5 13.0
Single modes	270 923	100.0	266 387	100.0	45 933	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	72 273 29 717 48 876 53 605 35 287	26.7 11.0 18.0 19.8 13.0	152 757 42 757 32 448 18 143 11 598	57.3 16.1 12.2 6.8 4.4	3 260 4 693 6 291 8 409 8 891	7.1 10.2 13.7 18.3 19.4
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	9 842 7 797 3 569 9 957	3.6 2.9 1.3 3.7	2 634 3 089 770 2 191	1.0 1.2 .3 .8	2 793 4 238 1 604 5 753	6.1 9.2 3.5 12.5
Truck <sup>3</sup>	264 443	100.0	241 308	100.0	39 049	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	71 336 29 365 48 258 51 855 33 856	27.0 11.1 18.2 19.6 12.8	147 499 29 735 29 990 16 552 9 601	61.1 12.3 12.4 6.9 4.0	3 081 2 623 5 699 7 538 7 028	7.9 6.7 14.6 19.3 18.0
750 to 999 miles	9 580 7 455 3 498 9 240	3.6 2.8 1.3 3.5	2 400 2 699 768 2 063	1.0 1.1 .3 .9	2 508 3 572 1 600 5 400	6.4 9.1 4.1 13.8
For-hire truck	164 586	100.0	101 894	100.0	30 376	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	22 759 13 335 28 490 44 058 28 613	13.8 8.1 17.3 26.8 17.4	41 883 13 831 16 826 13 757 8 369	41.1 13.6 16.5 13.5 8.2	1 213 1 187 3 279 6 335 6 136	4.0 3.9 10.8 20.9 20.2
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	8 368 6 363 3 399 9 201	5.1 3.9 2.1 5.6	2 043 2 374 756 2 055	2.0 2.3 .7 2.0	2 132 3 135 1 578 5 380	7.0 10.3 5.2 17.7
Private truck	98 966	100.0	133 901	100.0	8 322	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	48 432 15 940 19 437 7 598 5 124	48.9 16.1 19.6 7.7 5.2	100 932 15 733 12 727 2 619 1 188	75.4 11.8 9.5 2.0	1 723 1 419 2 333 1 128 864	20.7 17.0 28.0 13.5 10.4
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	1 212 1 086 S 38	1.2 1.1 S -	357 325 12 7	.3 .2 - -	376 436 23 20	4.5 5.2 .3 .2
Rail	2 772	100.0	S	S	6 640	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	61 S 455 423 S	2.2 S 16.4 15.3 S	476 S 2 428 1 568 1 986	2.4 S 12.0 7.8 9.8	34 S 585 856 1 851	.5 S 8.8 12.9 27.9
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	S S - 153	S S - 5.5	226 372 - S	1.1 1.8 - S	276 634 - S	4.2 9.5 - S
Water	S	S	S	S	S	S
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	S - S - -	\$   S  -  -	\$ - \$ -	S - S - -	\$ \$ -	S - S - -
750 to 999 miles	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -
Shallow draft	s	s	s	s	s	s
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	S - S - -	\$   \$   -	S - S - -	S - S - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - \$ -
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -

Table 3. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Value Tons		s Ton-miles <sup>2</sup>			
Mode of transportation and distance shipped <sup>1</sup> (based on Great Circle Distance)	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent
Single modes—Con.						
Great Lakes	-	-	-	_	_	-
Less than 50 miles	-	-	_	_	_	_
50 to 99 miles	-	-	-			
250 to 499 miles						_ _
750 to 999 miles	_	_	_	_	_	_
1,000 to 1,499 miles	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,500 to 1,999 miles	_	_				
Deep draft	s	s	s	s	s	s
Less than 50 miles	S	S	s	s	s	S
50 to 99 miles		_		_	_	
250 to 499 miles	-	-	-	-	-	-
500 to 749 miles	_	_	_	_	_	_
750 to 999 miles	-	-		_		
1,500 to 1,999 miles				_		_ _
Air (includes truck and air)	2 825	100.0	77	100.0	94	100.0
Less than 50 miles		100.0 S	s		94 S	100.0 S
50 to 99 miles	S S	S	5 S S	S	S	S
100 to 249 miles	155 1 327	5.5 47.0	S 23	S 30.2	S 15	S 15.9
500 to 749 miles	495	17.5	11	14.4	12	13.2
750 to 999 miles	101 S	3.6 S	S	S S	SS	S S
1,500 to 1,999 miles	71	2.5	S	S	S	S
2,000 miles or more	563	19.9	7	9.6	20	21.4
Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S	S	S	S	S	S
Less than 50 miles	S	S	S S	SS	S S	S
100 to 249 miles	-	_	_	_	S	\$ \$ \$ \$
250 to 499 miles	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S
750 to 999 miles	_	-	-	_	S	S
1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles					S S	\$ \$ \$
2,000 miles or more	-	-	-	-	Š	Š
Multiple modes	16 265	100.0	801	100.0	695	100.0
Less than 50 miles	1 482 1 665	9.1 10.2	58 83	7.3 10.4	2 8	.3 1.1
100 to 249 miles	2 513	15.4	136	17.0	29	4.2
250 to 499 miles	3 163 3 336	19.4 20.5	197 136	24.6 16.9	102 105	14.7 15.1
750 to 999 miles	1 097	6.7	41	5.1	45	6.4
1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles	1 029 370	6.3 2.3	27 15	3.4 1.8	38 31	5.4 4.4
2,000 miles or more	1 610	9.9	108	13.5	336	48.4
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	15 846	100.0	662	100.0	460	100.0
Less than 50 miles	1 482	9.4	58	8.8	2	.4
50 to 99 miles	1 665 2 504	10.5 15.8	83 129	12.5 19.5	8	1.7
250 to 499 miles	3 113	19.6	129	19.5	26 63	5.7 13.7
500 to 749 miles	3 246	20.5	121	18.3	89	19.5
750 to 999 miles	1 045 1 029	6.6 6.5	37 27	5.6 4.1	40 38	8.6 8.2
1,500 to 1,999 miles	366	2.3	15	2.2	30	6.6
2,000 miles or more	1 396	8.8	62	9.4	164	35.6
Truck and rail	332	100.0	107	100.0	163	100.0
Less than 50 miles	_	-			_	_
100 to 249 miles	-	_	_	_	_	_
250 to 499 miles	S	S	S	S S	S S	S S
750 to 999 miles	S	S	s	s	s	S
1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles	S	S	S	S	S	S
2,000 miles or more	S	S	39	36.8	118	72.1
Truck and water	87	100.0	31	100.0	s	s
Less than 50 miles	_	_			_	=
100 to 249 miles	90	86	S		S	S
250 to 499 miles	S S	S S	S	S S S	S S	SS
750 to 999 miles	S	S	S	s	s	S
1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles	_	-	-			_
2,000 miles or more	S	S	s	s		S

### Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Made of transportation and distance chimsed	Va	lue	То	ns	Ton-	miles <sup>2</sup>
Mode of transportation and distance shipped <sup>1</sup> (based on Great Circle Distance)	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent
Multiple modes—Con.						
Rail and water	-	-	-	_	-	-
Less than 50 miles	_ _	- -	_ _	_ _	_ _	
100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	-	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 1
750 to 999 miles	-	-	-	_	_	_
1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	-	-	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	
Other multiple modes	-	-	-	_	_	-
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - -
750 to 999 miles	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Other and unknown modes	6 416	100.0	8 816	100.0	460	100.0
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	2 865 1 070 676 516 886	44.7 16.7 10.5 8.0 13.8	7 792 355 306 147 133	88.4 4.0 3.5 1.7 1.5	84 32 64 68 96	18.3 7.0 13.9 14.7 21.0
750 to 999 miles	217 77 S 75	3.4 1.2 S 1.2	51 S S S	.6 S S	53 S S S	11.6 S S S

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>1</sup>Shipments are grouped into distance categories based on Great Circle Distance (GCD). GCD is the shortest distance between 2 points on the surface of a sphere over the surface of that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>3</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. <sup>4</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

# Table 4. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of	Valu			ns	Ton-r	miles <sup>1</sup>	
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
Total	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0	487
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	17 344 5 830 22 408 9 000 7 381	5.9 2.0 7.6 3.1 2.5	570 440 2 710 1 315 1 184	.2 .2 1.0 .5 .4	269 146 945 381 348	.6 .3 2.0 .8 .7	601 326 367 290 295
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	76 330 142 967 7 089 5 254	26.0 48.7 2.4 1.8	22 544 166 383 48 079 32 780	8.2 60.3 17.4 11.9	7 071 27 297 3 030 7 601	15.0 58.0 6.4 16.1	315 179 63 255
Single modes	270 923	100.0	266 387	100.0	45 933	100.0	259
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	6 892 3 182 19 377 8 609 7 003	2.5 1.2 7.2 3.2 2.6	244 300 2 471 1 263 1 152	- .1 .9 .5	50 54 800 370 339	.1 .1 1.7 .8 .7	239 181 334 294 295
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	72 749 141 042 6 850 5 219	26.9 52.1 2.5 1.9	21 535 161 061 47 777 30 585	8.1 60.5 17.9 11.5	6 836 26 879 3 014 7 591	14.9 58.5 6.6 16.5	317 183 63 266
Truck <sup>2</sup>	<b>264 443</b> 6 261	<b>100.0</b> 2.4	<b>241 308</b> 239	100.0	<b>39 049</b>	100.0	<b>250</b> 217
50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	2 905 18 394 8 488 6 899	1.1 7.0 3.2 2.6	296 2 404 1 243 1 102	1.0 1.0 .5 .5	51 780 365 332	.1 .1 2.0 .9	173 331 295 303
1,000 to 9,999 lb. 10,000 to 49,999 lb. 50,000 to 99,999 lb. 100,000 lb or more	72 026 140 768 6 810 1 892	27.2 53.2 2.6 .7	21 313 161 007 47 740 5 964	8.8 66.7 19.8 2.5	6 811 26 797 2 981 888	17.4 68.6 7.6 2.3	321 182 62 105
For-hire truck	164 586	100.0	101 894	100.0	30 376	100.0	595
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	3 036 913 11 735 5 288 4 063	1.8 .6 7.1 3.2 2.5	57 54 1 019 457 372	1.0 .4 .4	33 35 662 297 267	.1 .1 2.2 1.0 .9	601 643 680 644 721
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	45 573 89 558 2 839 1 581	27.7 54.4 1.7 1.0	8 747 66 782 21 609 2 798	8.6 65.5 21.2 2.7	5 515 21 164 1 829 575	18.2 69.7 6.0 1.9	674 363 82 S
Private truck	98 966	100.0	133 901	100.0	8 322	100.0	70
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	3 224 1 989 6 627 3 168 2 828	3.3 2.0 6.7 3.2 2.9	181 242 1 383 784 730	.1 .2 1.0 .6 .5	11 16 118 67 65	.1 .2 1.4 .8 .8	51 69 88 88 91
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	26 350 50 512 3 958 311	26.6 51.0 4.0 .3	12 531 89 787 25 095 3 167	9.4 67.1 18.7 2.4	1 293 5 299 1 139 313	15.5 63.7 13.7 3.8	100 63 46 71
Rail	2 772	100.0	s	S	6 640	100.0	462
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	8888 -	\$ \$ \$ -	9999	8 8 8 8 F	\$ \$ \$ -	S S S S -	1 001 1 018 855 851
1,000 to 9,999 lb. 10,000 to 49,999 lb. 50,000 to 99,999 lb. 100,000 lb or more	S 122 39 2 599	\$ 4.4 1.4 93.8	\$ 48 36 \$	S 2 2 9 S	S 74 S 6 530	S 1.1 S 98.3	489 1 820 1 024 320
Water Less than 50 lb	s	S	S	S	S	S	59
Less train 50 lb . 50 to 99 lb . 100 to 499 lb . 500 to 749 lb . 750 to 999 lb	- - - -	- - - - -		- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
1,000 to 9,999 lb	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - S	- - - 59
Shallow draft	s	s	s	s	s	s	62
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	- - - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - - -
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	- - - S	- - - s	- - - S	- - - s	- - - S	- - - s	- - 62

## Table 4. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 commonly from ourvey. Because of	Value Value		Tons		Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
Single modes—Con.							
Great Lakes	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Less than 50 lb	_	1 1	_ _	_	_ _	_	_
100 to 499 lb	_	=	_		_		
750 to 999 lb	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	1 1	_ _	_	_ _	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	_	=	_		_		
Deep draft	s	s	s	s	s	s	3
Less than 50 lb	_	-	_		-	_	=
50 to 99 lb	_	_	_	-	_	_	=
500 to 749 lb	_	-	_		_		=
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_		_	-	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	_ S	- S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_
Air (includes truck and air)	2 825	100.0	77	100.0	94	100.0	1 222
Less than 50 lb	630	22.3	5	6.1	5	5.5	1 196
50 to 99 lb	274 949	9.7 33.6	3 13	3.5 17.5	3 19	3.3 19.7	1 117 1 515
500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	S 81	S 2.9	2 S	2.6 S	4 S	4.1 S	1 840 1 078
1,000 to 9,999 lb	634	22.4	28	36.5	22	22.9	724
10,000 to 49,999 lb. 50,000 to 99,999 lb.	SS	SS	S S	S S	S S	S S	1 727 184
100,000 lb or more	S	S	S	S	S	S	1 811
Pipeline <sup>3</sup>	S	S	s	S	s	S	S
Less than 50 lb	S S	SSS	88888	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	S	S
100 to 499 lb	S	SSS	S	S	S	S	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
750 to 999 lb	S					S	
1,000 to 9,999 lb . 10,000 to 49,999 lb .	S S	S S	S S	S S	S	S	S S S
50,000 to 99,999 lb	s	S	S	S	S S	S S	S
Multiple modes	16 265	100.0	801	100.0	695	100.0	783
Less than 50 lb	10 124 2 591	62.2 15.9	309 131	38.6 16.4	219 91	31.4 13.0	789 666
100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb	2 713 229	16.7 1.4	185 26	23.1	139	20.0	758
750 to 999 lb	208	1.3	11	1.4	6	.8	S S
1,000 to 9,999 lb	70 327	.4 2.0	S 119	S 14.9	S 168	S 24.2	4 885 1 651
50,000 to 99,999 lb. 100,000 lb or more	_ S	S	- S	S	- S	S	652
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	15 846	100.0	662	100.0	460	100.0	782
Less than 50 lb	10 124	63.9	309	46.7	219	47.6	789
50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb	2 591 2 711	16.4 17.1	131 185	19.8 27.9	91 139	19.7 30.2	666 757
500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	225 192	1.4 1.2	26 11	3.9 1.6	6	1.4 1.1	S 479
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	S	S	S	S	S	505
10,000 to 49,999 lb. 50,000 to 99,999 lb.	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
100,000 lb or more	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Truck and rail	332	100.0	107	100.0	163	100.0	1 733
Less than 50 lb	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	716
100 to 499 lb	SS	SS	S S S	S S S	S S	S S	2 357 2 101
750 to 999 lb	S	S	S	S	S	S	2 313
1,000 to 9,999 lb	S	S S	S S	S S	S 154	S 94.4	2 497 1 866
50,000 to 99,999 lb	s	- S	S	S	S	S	652
Truck and water	87	100.0	31	100.0	S	s	4 969
Less than 50 lb	s	S	S	s	S	S	5 191
50 to 99 lb	S S	S	S S S	S S S S	S S	S	522 3 241
500 to 749 lb	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	4 441
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	S	S S	S S	s	S	5 951
10,000 to 49,999 lb	S -	S -	S -	S -	14 -	19.5	759 -
100,000 lb or more	I –	- 1	_	-	_	I –	I –

### Table 4. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Valu	ie	To	ns	Ton-r	niles <sup>1</sup>	
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
Multiple modes — Con.							
Rail and water	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Less than 50 lb	=	-	-	-	_	_	=
100 to 499 lb		_	_	_	_	_	_
500 to 749 lb		_	_	_	_	_	_
750 to 999 lb		_	_	_	_	_	
730 (0 999 (0	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
10,000 to 49,999 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
100,000 lb or more	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other multiple modes	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Less than 50 lb	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
50 to 99 lb	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
100 to 499 lb	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
500 to 749 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
750 to 999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
10,000 to 49,999 lb	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
100,000 lb or more	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	6 416	100.0	8 816	100.0	460	100.0	76
Less than 50 lb	328	5.1	16	.2	1	.1	45
50 to 99 lb	56	.9	8		l i	.2	Š
100 to 499 lb	318	5.0	55	.6	5	1.1	Š
500 to 749 lb	161	2.5	27	.3	4	.9	146
750 to 999 lb	S	S	21	.2	S	S	163
1,000 to 9,999 lb	3 511	54.7	996	11.3	174	37.8	193
10.000 to 49.999 lb	1 598	24.9	5 203	59.0	250	54.3	Š
50,000 to 99,999 lb	239	3.7	S 233	S	16	3.5	Š
100,000 lb or more	S	S	Š	Š	S	S	3
						L	

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs">www.census.gov/cfs</a>.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. <sup>3</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

### Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

CCTC	SCTG Commodity description		ie	То	Tons		Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>	
code	Commodity description	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
	Total <sup>2</sup>	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0	487
01 02 03 04 05	Live animals and live fish Cereal grains Other agricultural products Animal feed and products of animal origin, n.e.c. Meat, fish, seafood, and their preparations	S 2 694 1 403 6 751	- S .9 .5 2.3	- S S 7 574 4 748	- S S 2.7 1.7	- S 240 S 2 532	- S .5 S 5.4	- 39 S 57 191
06 07 08 09 10	Milled grain products and preparations, and bakery products Other prepared foodstuffs and fats and oils Alcoholic beverages Tobacco products Monumental or building stone	1 042 5 065 3 447 19 366 S	.4 1.7 1.2 6.6 S	S 7 297 3 691 S S	\$ 2.6 1.3 \$ \$	316 1 466 610 145 S	.7 3.1 1.3 .3 S	S S 55 831 186
11 12 13 14 15	Natural sands. Gravel and crushed stone. Nonmetallic minerals n.e.c. Metallic ores and concentrates Coal	186 504 S 359	- .2 S .1	25 238 57 442 S 28	9.1 20.8 S - -	1 715 1 668 376 15	3.6 3.5 .8 - -	61 21 418 296
17 18 19 20 21	Gasoline and aviation turbine fuel. Fuel oils Coal and petroleum products, n.e.c. Basic chemicals Pharmaceutical products	2 836 1 617 S 4 840 28 167	1.0 .6 S 1.6 9.6	11 020 7 427 2 216 5 161 2 173	4.0 2.7 .8 1.9 .8	636 335 S 828 1 571	1.4 .7 S 1.8 3.3	52 24 S S 284
22 23 24 25 26	Fertilizers Chemical products and preparations, n.e.c. Plastics and rubber Logs and other wood in the rough Wood products	S 7 097 S S S 6 917	\$ 2.4 \$ \$ 2.4	\$ 4 504 6 132 \$ 27 522	\$ 1.6 2.2 \$ 10.0	S 1 384 S S 5 187	\$ 2.9 \$ \$ 11.0	729 195 542 S 262
27 28 29 30 31	Pulp, newsprint, paper, and paperboard Paper or paperboard articles Printed products Textiles, leather, and articles of textiles or leather Nonmetallic mineral products	3 854 3 200 2 711 42 237 8 607	1.3 1.1 .9 14.4 2.9	5 222 2 411 428 5 719 38 403	1.9 .9 .2 2.1 13.9	2 260 676 264 2 941 3 544	4.8 1.4 .6 6.2 7.5	291 192 823 815 181
32 33 34 35	Base metal in primary or semifinished forms and in finished basic shapes.  Articles of base metal Machinery Electronic and other electrical equipment and components and office equipment Motorized and other vehicles (including parts)	6 694 5 766 13 959 20 113 12 181	2.3 2.0 4.8 6.9	4 374 2 133 1 757 1 448 2 374	1.6 .8 .6	1 833 920 1 126 913 1 188	3.9 2.0 2.4 1.9 2.5	238 538 259 603 211
37	Transportation equipment, n.e.c.	1 999	4.1	S	.9 S	s	S	1 203
38 39	Precision instruments and apparatus.  Furniture, mattresses and mattress supports, lamps, lighting fittings, and illuminated signs.	2 234 11 925	.8 4.1	51 1 764	- .6	1 022	S 2.2	333 660
40 41 43 	Illuminated signs Miscellaneous manufactured products Waste and scrap Mixed freight Commodity unknown	11 925 10 574 S 35 466 616	4.1 3.6 S 12.1 .2	6 385 769 15 609 S	.6 2.3 .3 5.7 S	1 022 1 877 97 2 125 S	4.0 .2 4.5 S	952 144 203 211

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>Estimates exclude shipments of crude petroleum (SCTG 16).

### Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

SCTG	Commodite de saintina	Value (p	percent)	Tons (p	ercent)	Ton-miles <sup>1</sup> (percent)		
code	Commodity description	2002	1997	2002	1997	2002	1997	
	Total <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
01 02 03 04 05	Live animals and live fish Cereal grains Other agricultural products Animal feed and products of animal origin, n.e.c. Meat, fish, seafood, and their preparations	- \$ .9 .5 2.3	S - 1.6 .7 2.0	- S S 2.7 1.7	\$ .8 1.4 2.9 1.1	- S .5 S 5.4	.2 .7 1.4 1.5 2.3	
06 07 08 09 10	Milled grain products and preparations, and bakery products. Other prepared foodstuffs and fats and oils. Alcoholic beverages. Tobacco products. Monumental or building stone.	.4 1.7 1.2 6.6 S	.7 3.1 .6 6.2 S	\$ 2.6 1.3 \$ \$	.6 4.6 .7 .8 S	.7 3.1 1.3 .3 S	.7 3.9 .6 .7 .2	
11 12 13 14 15	Natural sands. Gravel and crushed stone . Nonmetallic minerals n.e.c. Metallic ores and concentrates Coal .	- .2 S .1	2 S S S	9.1 20.8 S - -	5.0 30.5 .8 S S	3.6 3.5 .8 - -	\$ 17.0 2.0 \$ \$	
17 18 19 20 21	Gasoline and aviation turbine fuel Fuel oils Coal and petroleum products, n.e.c. Basic chemicals. Pharmaceutical products	1.0 .6 S 1.6 9.6	1.4 .8 .6 1.1 7.0	4.0 2.7 .8 1.9	5.0 3.8 2.2 1.4 .8	1.4 .7 S 1.8 3.3	1.4 1.0 S 2.5 S	
22 23 24 25 26	Fertilizers Chemical products and preparations, n.e.c. Plastics and rubber Logs and other wood in the rough Wood products	\$ 2.4 \$ \$ 2.4	.3 1.8 4.2 _ 2.2	\$ 1.6 2.2 \$ 10.0	2.1 .6 1.8 1.4 8.2	\$ 2.9 \$ \$ 11.0	3.0 1.7 4.3 .5 8.8	
27 28 29 30 31	Pulp, newsprint, paper, and paperboard Paper or paperboard articles Printed products Textiles, leather, and articles of textiles or leather Nonmetallic mineral products	1.3 1.1 .9 14.4 2.9	1.2 1.1 1.2 23.6 1.7	1.9 .9 .2 2.1 13.9	1.6 .8 .3 2.9 8.6	4.8 1.4 .6 6.2 7.5	5.4 1.4 .7 7.1 5.8	
32 33 34 35 36	Base metal in primary or semifinished forms and in finished basic shapes Articles of base metal Machinery Electronic and other electrical equipment and components and office equipment Motorized and other vehicles (including parts)	2.3 2.0 4.8 6.9 4.1	1.4 2.5 5.3 11.8 4.2	1.6 .8 .6 .5	1.3 1.0 .6 .6	3.9 2.0 2.4 1.9 2.5	2.6 2.0 1.8 2.4 2.3	
37 38 39 40 41 43	Transportation equipment, n.e.c. Precision instruments and apparatus Furniture, mattresses and mattress supports, lamps, lighting fittings, and illuminated signs Miscellaneous manufactured products Waste and scrap Mixed freight Commodity unknown	.7 .8 4.1 3.6 S 12.1	.4 .7 3.2 4.1 .2 2.0 .6	S - .6 2.3 .3 5.7 S	- .6 1.5 .8 1.1	\$ \$ 2.2 4.0 4.5 \$	S - 2.1 2.3 1.0 .9	

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>Estimates exclude shipments of crude petroleum (SCTG 16).

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	1						
	Value	•	То	ns	Ton-r	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
ALL COMMODITIES							
Total <sup>2</sup>	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0	487
Single modes	270 923	92.3	266 387	96.5	45 933	97.5	259
Truck <sup>3</sup>	264 443	90.1	241 308	87.4	39 049	82.9	250
For-hire truck Private truck	164 586 98 966	56.1 33.7	101 894 133 901	36.9 48.5	30 376 8 322	64.5 17.7	595 70
Rail	2 772	.9	S	S	6 640	14.1	462
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S S - S	\$ \$ - \$	\$ \$ - \$	\$ \$ - \$	\$ \$ - \$	\$ \$ - \$	59 62 - 3
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	2 825 S	1.0 S	77 S	_ S	94 S	.2 S	1 222 S
Multiple modes	16 265	5.5	801	.3	695	1.5	783
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	15 846 332 87 -	5.4 .1 - -	662 107 31 -	.2 - - -	460 163 S	1.0 .3 S	782 1 733 4 969
Other and unknown modes	6 416	2.2	8 816	3.2	460	1.0	76
SCTG 01, LIVE ANIMALS AND LIVE FISH							
Total	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Single modes	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Truck <sup>3</sup>	- - -	- - -	_ 	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	- - -
Rail	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>		_		_ _	Š	Š	Š
Multiple modes	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Truck and water Rail and water	_	=	=	=	_	=	_ 
Other multiple modes	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCTG 02, CEREAL GRAINS							
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	39
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	39
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck	s	s	S	s	S	s	39
Private truck	s	s	S	s	S	s	39
Rail	_	-	=	_	=	_	=
Water Shallow draft		-	<u>-</u>	_ _	-	_ _	_ _
Great Lakes	_ _	-		_ _	- -	_ _	_ _
Air (includes truck and air)		-	_		- S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	<u>-</u>	_ _	_	-	_ _	=
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	-	- - - -	= = =
Other and unknown modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	-

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	J.	,					
	Valu	e	То	ons	Ton-r	miles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 03, OTHER AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS	(**************************************		(========)		(**************************************		
Total	2 694	100.0	s	s	240	100.0	s
Single modes	2 468	91.6	s	s	181	75.6	s
Truck <sup>3</sup>	2 465 S	91.5	S 506	S 27.9	178 125	74.3	S 200
Private truck	S	S S	506 S	27.8 S	S S	51.9 S	299 37
Rail	S	S	S	S	S	S	3 124
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	_ _	- -	<del>-</del>	- - -		- - -	<del>-</del> -
Deep draft	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Air (includes truck and air)	S _	S   -	S -	S -	S S	S S	352 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	1 135
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S -	s -	S -	S -	S -	S -	1 135 -
Truck and water		_	_	_	_	_	_
Other multiple modes	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	58	24.3	321
SCTG 04, ANIMAL FEED AND PRODUCTS OF ANIMAL ORIGIN, N.E.C.							
Total	1 403	100.0	7 574	100.0	s	s	57
Single modes	1 385	98.7	7 478	98.7	s	s	58
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck	1 381 S	98.4 S	7 461 S	98.5 S	S S	S S	58 310
Private truck	1 300	92.7	7 009	92.5	377	71.8	46
Rail	S S	S   _	S	S	S	S	279
Shallow draft		_	_	_			_ 
Deep draft	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	143 S
Multiple modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	-	_ _	_	_		
Truck and water	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
Rail and water		-	_	_ _	_	_	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	33
SCTG 05, MEAT, FISH, SEAFOOD, AND THEIR PREPARATIONS							
Total	6 751	100.0	4 748	100.0	2 532	100.0	191
Single modes	6 625	98.1	4 679	98.6	2 514	99.3	193
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	6 625 3 648 2 961	98.1 54.0 43.9	4 679 2 808 1 865	98.6 59.1 39.3	2 514 1 864 650	99.3 73.6 25.7	193 524 116
Rail	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Water Shallow draft	_	-	<u>-</u>	_	_	_	_ _
Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	-	 			_ _	- -
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>		-	=	=	- s	- S	_ S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	471
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	s	S	s	s	s	471
Truck and railTruck and water		_	_ _	_	_		_ _
Rail and waterOther multiple modes	_	-	_				_ _
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Caret and unknown modes	. 31	3 1	3			. 3	

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Val	luo	То	ne	Ton-r	milos1	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 06, MILLED GRAIN PRODUCTS AND PREPARATIONS, AND BAKERY PRODUCTS							
Total	1 042	100.0	s	s	316	100.0	s
Single modes	1 041	99.9	s	s	316	100.0	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	1 041 284 S	99.9 27.3 S	S 302 S	S 23.4 S	316 176 S	100.0 55.8 S	S 650 129
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _		_ _		- S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	737
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	s	s	s	s	737
Truck and rail	_ _	- -		-		_ _	
Rail and water		_ _				_ _	_ _
Other and unknown modes	_	_	_	_	-	_	-
SCTG 07, OTHER PREPARED FOODSTUFFS AND FATS AND OILS							
Total	5 065	100.0	7 297	100.0	1 466	100.0	s
Single modes	5 038	99.5	7 258	99.5	1 460	99.6	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	4 906 2 263 2 174	96.9 44.7 42.9	6 749 1 996 3 992	92.5 27.4 54.7	1 278 828 269	87.2 56.5 18.4	S 469 24
Rail	132	2.6	509	7.0	182	12.4	360
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)		_ _	_ _		_ S	- S	_ S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	767
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	s	s	S	s	767
Truck and rail				_			_ _
Rail and water			_ _	_	_		- -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 08, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES							
Total	3 447	100.0	3 691	100.0	610	100.0	55
Single modes	3 029	87.9	3 352	90.8	592	97.1	54
Truck³ For-hire truck Private truck	2 990 594 2 396	86.7 17.2 69.5	3 260 1 290 1 971	88.3 34.9 53.4	542 S S	88.9 S S	53 382 49
Rail	s	s	s	s	s	s	539
Water	_	-	_	_	-	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	- - -	_ 	_ _ _	- - -	_ _ _	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)		_			_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	2 089
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S	s	s	s	s	2 089
Truck and rail			_ _	-			_ _
Rail and water		_ _	_ _		_ _		_ _
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	55

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 commodity from oursey.	Value		То	ns	Ton-r	niles1	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 09, TOBACCO PRODUCTS							
Total	19 366	100.0	s	s	145	100.0	831
Single modes	19 151	98.9	s	s	140	96.8	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	19 151 12 507 6 645	98.9 64.6 34.3	S S 115	S S 4.7	140 138 1	96.8 95.8 1.0	S S 95
Rail	_	-	=	_	=	-	=
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>		_ _	_ _	_ _	- S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	215	1.1	5	.2	5	3.2	926
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	215 - - - -	1.1 - - -	5 - - -	.2 - - - -	5 - - -	3.2 - - - -	926 - - - -
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCTG 10, MONUMENTAL OR BUILDING STONE							
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	186
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	186
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	S - S	\$ - \$	S - S	S - S	S - S	\$ - \$	186 - 186
Rail	_	-	=	_	=	-	=
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)		-		_ _ _	- S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Rail and water Other multiple modes		- -	-	- -	-	- -	<del>-</del>
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
SCTG 11, NATURAL SANDS							
Total	186	100.0	25 238	100.0	1 715	100.0	61
Single modes	177	95.4	24 969	98.9	1 710	99.7	63
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	158 138 20	85.0 74.1 10.8	23 949 S 2 530	94.9 S 10.0	1 532 1 428 103	89.3 83.2 6.0	62 S 53
Rail	19	10.3	1 005	4.0	151	8.8	150
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S	1 811 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	977
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	- - S -	- - S -	- - S	- - S -	- - 8 -	- - S -	- - 977 -
Other multiple modes	- s	- s	- s	- s	- s	- s	- s
		J.	•	ŭ	J	٠, ٠	ū

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 commonly flow ourvey.	Val	•	Tons		Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 12, GRAVEL AND CRUSHED STONE							
Total	504	100.0	57 442	100.0	1 668	100.0	21
Single modes	487	96.7	55 185	96.1	1 638	98.2	21
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	466 129 290	92.5 25.5 57.6	53 219 14 880 33 736	92.6 25.9 58.7	1 170 425 600	70.2 25.5 36.0	20 27 16
Rail	21	4.2	1 966	3.4	468	28.0	219
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	= =
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>		<u>-</u>			_ S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes		- - - - - s	- - - - - - s	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Other and unknown modes	S	5	5	S	S	s	S
SCTG 13, NONMETALLIC MINERALS N.E.C.							
Total	s	s	s	s	376	100.0	418
Single modes	S	S	S	S	376	100.0	202
Truck <sup>3</sup>	\$ 59 \$	S 51.1 S	S 469 S	S 33.1 S	255 221 S	67.8 58.9 S	193 467 91
Rail	S	S	s	s	S	s	733
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)		-	_ _		- S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	991
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S -	s -	S -	S -	S -	991
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ _	-	_ 		_ _		_ _
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	16
SCTG 14, METALLIC ORES AND CONCENTRATES							
Total	359	100.0	28	100.0	15	100.0	296
Single modes	359	100.0	28	100.0	15	100.0	296
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	359 359 S	100.0 99.9 S	28 26 S	100.0 93.5 S	15 15 S	100.0 99.3 S	296 334 36
Rail	_	-	_	_	_	-	_
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	- - -
Deep draft		-				-   -	-
Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	_	_	_	_	S -	S -	s -
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier		_ _	_ _ _	_ _	_ _	- -	- -
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ _ _		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _
Other and unknown modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	-

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 dominiously from oursely.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
SCTC and a description and made of transportation	Vali	ue	То	ns	Ton-r	miles¹	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 15, COAL							
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Single modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck <sup>3</sup>							
For-hire truck Private truck		=	=		_		
Rail	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes	_		_			_	_ _
Deep draft	-	-	-	-	=	-	-
Air (includes truck and air)			- -	_ _	- S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Truck and railTruck and water		_ _			_ _		_ _
Rail and water		_ _	- -	_ _	-	_ _	_ _
Other and unknown modes	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
SCTG 17, GASOLINE AND AVIATION TURBINE FUEL							
Total	2 836	100.0	11 020	100.0	636	100.0	52
Single modes	2 836	100.0	11 020	100.0	636	100.0	52
Truck <sup>3</sup>	2 792	98.4	10 921	99.1	635	99.8	55
For-hire truck	1 323 1 469	46.6 51.8	5 527 5 394	50.2 49.0	357 278	56.1 43.7	69 47
Rail	-	-	-	_	=	_	=
Water	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	=	=	= =	- - -	= =	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	s	Š	- S	s	S	s	s
Multiple modes	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier		_ _	- -	_ _		_ _	_ _
Truck and water Rail and water		_ _	_				_ _
Other multiple modes	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
SCTG 18, FUEL OILS							
Total	1 617	100.0	7 427	100.0	335	100.0	24
Single modes	1 609	99.5	7 403	99.7	335	100.0	24
Truck <sup>3</sup>	1 599 369 S	98.9 22.8 S	7 373 1 722 S	99.3 23.2 S	334 116 217	99.9 34.6 64.8	25 71 S
Rail	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Water	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	- - -	=	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	- S	Š	- S	- S	- S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	5
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	S -	5 -
Truck and water	_ _ _	=	=	= =	= =	=	=
Other and unknown modes		-	_				_
Other and unknown modes	l sl	s	l s	l s	S	l s	4

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 commonly flow oursey.	Value		To	ne	Ton-r	milos1	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 19, COAL AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, N.E.C.	(million dollars)	reiceit	(lilousarius)	reiceit	(minoris)	reicent	per snipment
Total	s	s	2 216	100.0	s	s	s
Single modes	s	s	2 154	97.2	s	s	s
Truck <sup>3</sup>	S	S	1 974	89.1	s	s	S
For-hire truck Private truck	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	192 S
Rail	-	_	-	_	_	-	-
Water				_ _	_ _	_	
Great Lakes Deep draft			_	=	_ _	_ _	
Air (includes truck and air)	- S	Š	- S	- S	- S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S 570
Truck and water	-	- -	_ _	= =	_ _	_ _ _	- -
Other multiple modes	s	s	- S	s	- S	s	4
SCTG 20, BASIC CHEMICALS							
Total	4 840	100.0	5 161	100.0	828	100.0	s
Single modes	4 785	98.9	5 150	99.8	827	99.9	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	4 332 3 089 1 244	89.5 63.8 25.7	3 667 S 1 382	71.1 S 26.8	620 499 121	74.9 60.3 14.6	S 273 S
Rail	328	6.8	632	12.2	202	24.4	321
Water	S	S	S	S	S	s	3
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S - - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S	3 - -
Air (includes truck and air) Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S S	S	S S	S S	S S	S S	1 111 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	S - -	S - -	S - -	S -	S - -	S	522 
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	57
SCTG 21, PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS							
Total	28 167	100.0	2 173	100.0	1 571	100.0	284
Single modes	26 911	95.5	2 122	97.6	1 551	98.7	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	26 143 17 072 9 072	92.8 60.6 32.2	2 119 1 743 377	97.5 80.2 17.3	1 549 1 453 96	98.6 92.5 6.1	S S S
Rail	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Water		_	_	_	_ _	_ _	_
Great Lakes Deep draft			=	= =			_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	768 -	2.7	2 -	.1 –	2 S	.1 S	1 290 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	347
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	347
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	_ _ _	- - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	811

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based of data from the 2002 commonly from oursey.	Vali		Tons		Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>			
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment	
SCTG 22, FERTILIZERS								
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	729	
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	729	
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck	40 21 S	5.8 3.1 S	S S S	S S S	52 33 19	3.8 2.4 1.4	284 398 179	
Rail	s	S	s	s	s	s	1 167	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S S - -	S S	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - -	S S	55 55 - -	
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>		<u>-</u>	=		- S	_ S	- S	
Multiple modes	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes  Other and unknown modes	- - - - -	- -	- - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - -	- -	
SCTG 23, CHEMICAL PRODUCTS AND PREPARATIONS, N.E.C.								
Total	7 097	100.0	4 504	100.0	1 384	100.0	195	
Single modes	6 269	88.3	4 428	98.3	1 339	96.8	181	
Truck <sup>3</sup>	6 084 5 069 1 015	85.7 71.4 14.3	3 509 2 602 S	77.9 57.8 S	1 240 1 167 S	89.6 84.3 S	177 401 43	
Rail	30	.4	s	s	s	s	981	
Water Shallow draft Shallow dr	S	S	s -	s -	S	S -	3	
Great Lakes Deep draft	- S	Š	_ S	- S	- S	- S	_ 3	
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S S	SS	S S	S S	S S	SS	714 S	
Multiple modes	795	11.2	72	1.6	44	3.2	s	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - -	\$\$ - -	\$ 8 - - -	11 S - - -	.8 S - -	\$ 2 048 - - -	
Other and unknown modes	34	.5	s	s	s	s	s	
SCTG 24, PLASTICS AND RUBBER								
Total	s	s	6 132	100.0	s	s	542	
Single modes	s	s	5 932	96.7	s	s	439	
Truck <sup>3</sup>	S S 2 349	S S 14.0	5 882 S 917	95.9 S 15.0	S S 192	S S 4.6	432 813 S	
Rail	s	S	s	S	S	s	2 532	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	
Deep draft	39	- .2	_ 	_ 	_ S	- s	1 297	
Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	-	_	-	_	S	S	S	
Multiple modes	<b>543</b> 505	<b>3.2</b> 3.0	<b>s</b> s	s s	<b>66</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>829</b> 829	
Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water	S S S	3.0 8 8	\$ \$ \$	S S -	\$ \$ \$	9 9 9	3 165 485	
Other multiple modes	-	=	-	-	_	-	-	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	l s	178	

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Value	,	Tons	s	Ton-n	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 25, LOGS AND OTHER WOOD IN THE ROUGH			, ,		, ,		
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	\$ \$ \$	S S S	S S S	S S S	s s s	S S S	S S 60
Rail	S	S	S	S	S	S	2 950
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)		_	_		_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	- - - - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Other and unknown modes	S	S	S	S	S	S	245
SCTG 26, WOOD PRODUCTS							
Total	6 917	100.0	27 522	100.0	5 187	100.0	262
Single modes	6 782	98.0	27 398	99.5	5 142	99.1	186
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	6 307 3 748 2 556	91.2 54.2 37.0	15 173 7 286 S	55.1 26.5 S	2 906 2 090 816	56.0 40.3 15.7	170 337 72
Rail	S	s	S	S	S	S	S
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	S _	S -	S -	S -	S	S S	1 030 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	11	.2	673
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	SS	S S	S S	S S	S S	s s	673 713
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple medica	_	-	-	_ _	- -	-	
Other multiple modes	44	.6	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 27, PULP, NEWSPRINT, PAPER, AND PAPERBOARD							
Total	3 854	100.0	5 222	100.0	2 260	100.0	291
Single modes	3 749	97.3	5 193	99.5	2 256	99.8	233
Truck <sup>a</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	3 511 2 852 658	91.1 74.0 17.1	4 662 4 085 577	89.3 78.2 11.0	1 852 1 799 53	82.0 79.6 2.3	222 410 80
Rail	227	5.9	511	9.8	399	17.7	746
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S S -	S S -	S S -	S S -	S S -	\$ \$ - -	217 217 –
Air (includes truck and air)	S _	S -	S -	s -	S	S	1 212 S
Multiple modes	s	s	2	_	1	-	569
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S	2	_	1	_	569
Truck and water Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ 	_ 	_ _ _	_ _ _
Other and unknown modes	34	.9	s	s	s	s	65

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Listinates are based on data from the 2002 dominoutly frow durvey.	Value		To	ns	Ton-n	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 28, PAPER OR PAPERBOARD ARTICLES	(**************************************		(**************************************		(		
Total	3 200	100.0	2 411	100.0	676	100.0	192
Single modes	3 101	96.9	2 374	98.5	664	98.1	161
Truck <sup>3</sup> . For-hire truck	3 097 1 936 1 161	96.8 60.5 36.3	2 373 1 377 995	98.4 57.1 41.3	664 558 105	98.1 82.5 15.6	161 518 54
Rail	_	_	-	-	_	_	_
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	SSS	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	1 085 S
Multiple modes	21	.7	2	.1	1	.2	629
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	20 - S - -	.6 - S - -	2 - S - -	- - S - -	1 - S - -	.1 - S - -	629 578 -
Other and unknown modes	S	S	S	S	S	s	43
SCTG 29, PRINTED PRODUCTS							
Total	2 711	100.0	428	100.0	264	100.0	823
Single modes	903	33.3	325	76.0	173	65.5	311
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	889 649 240	32.8 23.9 8.9	324 275 49	75.7 64.3 11.4	172 169 3	65.0 63.9 1.2	188 469 S
Rail	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S _	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	813 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	843
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	S	S - - - -	S - - -	S - - -	S - - -	S - - - -	843 - - - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	S	s	s	s	176
SCTG 30, TEXTILES, LEATHER, AND ARTICLES OF TEXTILES OR LEATHER							
Total	42 237	100.0	5 719	100.0	2 941	100.0	815
Single modes	38 814	91.9	5 486	95.9	2 773	94.3	776
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	38 556 26 418 S	91.3 62.5 S	5 464 3 664 1 723	95.5 64.1 30.1	2 720 2 249 451	92.5 76.5 15.3	773 908 346
Rail	s	s	S	S	S	s	1 426
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	9	.2	15 S	.5 S	1 287 S
Multiple modes	2 789	6.6	151	2.6	144	4.9	842
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	2 668 S S - -	6.3 S S	141 S S - -	2.5 S S	120 24 S - -	4.1 .8 S - -	841 2 355 1 608 -
Other and unknown modes	634	1.5	82	1.4	24	.8	184

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey.	Value		Tons	3	Ton-n	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 31, NONMETALLIC MINERAL PRODUCTS					, ,		
Total	8 607	100.0	38 403	100.0	3 544	100.0	181
Single modes	7 987	92.8	33 853	88.1	3 419	96.4	s
Truck <sup>3</sup>	7 881 S 3 207	91.6 S 37.3	33 044 4 114 28 929	86.0 10.7 75.3	2 893 S 590	81.6 S 16.6	S 460 38
Rail	S	s	s	S	s	s	627
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S _	S -	S -	S -	S S	S	904 S
Multiple modes	279	3.2	s	s	s	s	869
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	254 S S - -	3.0 S S - -	5 S S -	- 8 8 - -	\$ \$ 5 -	\$ \$ \$ -	854 3 018 7 248 —
Other and unknown modes	341	4.0	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 32, BASE METAL IN PRIMARY OR SEMIFINISHED FORMS AND IN FINISHED BASIC SHAPES							
Total	6 694	100.0	4 374	100.0	1 833	100.0	238
Single modes	6 390	95.5	4 218	96.4	1 814	99.0	239
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	6 345 4 314 2 031	94.8 64.4 30.3	3 970 2 490 1 480	90.8 56.9 33.8	1 651 1 406 S	90.0 76.7 S	237 607 87
Rail	s	s	s	S	163	8.9	646
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	6 –	-	1 -	_	1 S	- S	1 533 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	322
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	S - S - -	\$   S   -   -	\$ - \$ -	\$   S   -   -	S - S -	S - S -	322 5 047 - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	13	.7	s
SCTG 33, ARTICLES OF BASE METAL							
Total	5 766	100.0	2 133	100.0	920	100.0	538
Single modes	4 820	83.6	2 063	96.8	863	93.7	405
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	4 808 3 546 1 257	83.4 61.5 21.8	2 063 1 400 658	96.7 65.6 30.9	862 749 S	93.7 81.3 S	396 658 S
Rail	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Door daft	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Deep draft  Air (includes truck and air)	11 S	.2 S	- S S	- S S	S S	S S	1 609 S
Multiple modes	835	14.5	s	s	s	s	754
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	835	14.5	S - -	S -	S - -	S - -	754 - -
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ _ _	_	=	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _
Other and unknown modes	112	1.9	s	s	s	s	140

## Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Value		Tons		Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 34, MACHINERY							
Total	13 959	100.0	1 757	100.0	1 126	100.0	259
Single modes	12 146	87.0	1 670	95.1	1 074	95.3	219
Truck <sup>3</sup>	12 123	86.8	1 668	94.9	1 072	95.2	216
For-hire truck Private truck	9 744 2 379	69.8 17.0	1 356 312	77.2 17.7	973 100	86.4 8.8	374 65
Rail	S	S	S	S	S	S	318
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - - -	_ _ _	- - -	- - - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	17	.1	1 –	_	1 S	_ S	981 S
Multiple modes	1 541	11.0	48	2.7	33	2.9	365
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	1 514	10.8	41	2.4	14	1.2	364
Truck and rail	S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S   S	2 937 4 993
Rail and water	_	-	-		=	-	-
Other and unknown modes	273	2.0	39	2.2	20	1.7	s
SCTG 35, ELECTRONIC AND OTHER ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND COMPONENTS AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT							
Total	20 113	100.0	1 448	100.0	913	100.0	603
Single modes	16 307	81.1	1 359	93.9	856	93.8	435
Truck <sup>3</sup>	14 513 12 875 1 637	72.2 64.0 8.1	1 315 1 148 167	90.8 79.3 11.6	816 781 35	89.4 85.6 3.8	344 719 S
Rail	s	s	13	.9	S	s	816
Water	_	_	-	_	_	-	-
Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	-	- -		-	=	_ _
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	1 484	7.4	31 -	2.1	30 S	3.3 S	1 199 S
Multiple modes	2 934	14.6	50	3.5	39	4.3	836
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	2 934	14.6	50	3.5	39	4.3	836
Truck and water Rail and water	=	-	=	_	=	=	=
Other multiple modes	_	=	-	_	-	-	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	39	2.7	17	1.8	191
SCTG 36, MOTORIZED AND OTHER VEHICLES (INCLUDING PARTS)							
Total	12 181	100.0	2 374	100.0	1 188	100.0	211
Single modes	9 822	80.6	2 099	88.4	1 148	96.7	206
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	9 798 7 080 2 686	80.4 58.1 22.0	2 098 1 619 476	88.3 68.2 20.0	1 147 1 096 51	96.6 92.3 4.3	203 343 34
Rail	S	s	s	s	s	s	365
Water Shallow draft Crost Lokes	_ _ _	-	- -	- -	-	- - -	_ _
Great Lakes Deep draft	=	-	-	-	-	-	=
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S -	S -	s -	S -	S S	S S	1 502 S
Multiple modes	287	2.4	14	.6	6	.5	388
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	287 S	2.4 S	14 S	.6 S	6 S	.5 S	388 3 196
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

lesumates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey.	Value		Tor	ns	Ton-m	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
SCTG 37, TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT, N.E.C.							
Total	1 999	100.0	s	s	s	s	1 203
Single modes	1 870	93.5	s	s	s	s	1 393
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	\$ \$ \$	S S S	S S S	S S S	S S S	s s s	734 814 541
Rail	s	s	s	s	s	s	925
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	41	2.1	S -	S -	S	S S	1 968 S
Multiple modes	129	6.4	s	s	s	s	1 154
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	129 - - - -	6.4 - - - -	S - - - -	S - - - -	\$ - - -	S - - - -	1 154 - - - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	4
SCTG 38, PRECISION INSTRUMENTS AND APPARATUS							
Total	2 234	100.0	51	100.0	s	s	333
Single modes	1 887	84.4	45	88.8	s	s	s
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	1 856 991 S	83.0 44.3 S	45 23 S	88.0 45.6 S	S S S	S S S	S 655 S
Rail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	31	1.4	S -	S -	S S	S S	1 355 S
Multiple modes	327	14.6	5	9.0	4	18.1	686
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes  Other and unknown modes	327 - - - - - 21	14.6 - - - - - -	5 - - - - - S	9.0 - - - - - - S	4 - - - - - S	18.1 - - - - - S	686 - - - - - S
SCTG 39, FURNITURE, MATTRESSES AND MATTRESS SUPPORTS, LAMPS, LIGHTING FITTINGS, AND ILLUMINATED SIGNS							
Total	11 925	100.0	1 764	100.0	1 022	100.0	660
Single modes	11 693	98.1	1 730	98.1	983	96.2	657
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	11 649 7 134 4 515	97.7 59.8 37.9	1 727 1 022 706	97.9 57.9 40.0	977 738 239	95.6 72.2 23.4	640 843 322
Rail	s	s	s	s	s	s	1 900
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S _	S -	S -	S -	S	S S	1 793 S
Multiple modes	104	.9	s	s	s	s	765
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	47 S S -	.4 S S	3 8 8	.2 S S	3 8 8	.3 S S	752 2 853 S -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	532

# Table 6. Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

Estimates are based on data from the 2002 dominoutly flow durvey.	Value				Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		T	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment	
SCTG 40, MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS	(minor donalo)	T Groom	(anododinac)	7 0.00.11	(·······orior	, ereent	per empirion	
Total	10 574	100.0	6 385	100.0	1 877	100.0	952	
Single modes	8 799	83.2	6 295	98.6	1 833	97.7	692	
Truck <sup>3</sup>	8 605	81.4	5 827	91.3	1 810	96.4	689	
For-hire truck Private truck	7 245 1 359	68.5 12.9	2 328 S	36.5 S	1 502 S	80.0 S	895 105	
Rail	S	s	S	S	S	s	655	
Water Shallow draft	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	3 3	
Great Lakes Deep draft	_	-	-	-		-	_	
Air (includes truck and air)	S S	S S	S	SS	SS	S S	1 206 S	
Multiple modes	1 494	14.1	42	.7	30	1.6	1 013	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	1 494	14.1	42	.7	30	1.6	1 011	
Truck and water Rail and water	S	S	S	S	S	S	5 192	
Other multiple modes	_	-	=	=	=	-	=	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	49	.8	s	s	s	
SCTG 41, WASTE AND SCRAP								
Total	s	s	769	100.0	97	100.0	144	
Single modes	s	s	769	100.0	97	100.0	144	
Truck <sup>3</sup> . For-hire truck	S S S	S S S	769 S S	100.0 S S	97 74 S	100.0 76.8 S	144 161 S	
Rail	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	
Water	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Air (includes truck and air)		=	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- S	- S	- S	
Multiple modes	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	
Truck and railTruck and water	_	- -	- -			_	_	
Rail and water	_	-	-	- -	_	-	_	
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCTG 43, MIXED FREIGHT								
Total	35 466	100.0	15 609	100.0	2 125	100.0	203	
Single modes	34 812	98.2	15 577	99.8	2 112	99.4	85	
Truck <sup>3</sup> . For-hire truckPrivate truck	34 803 7 257 27 546	98.1 20.5 77.7	15 577 1 489 14 088	99.8 9.5 90.3	2 112 409 1 703	99.4 19.2 80.1	81 366 60	
Rail	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Water Shallow draft		-	_	- -	_ _	_	_ _	
Great Lakes Deep draft		_	- -	- -	<del>-</del>	- -	_ _	
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline <sup>4</sup>	S _	s -	S -	S -	SS	S S	1 248 S	
Multiple modes	616	1.7	27	.2	13	.6	562	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	616	1.7	27	.2	13	.6	562	
Truck and water Rail and water	_	-	_	_ _	_	_	_ _	
Other multiple modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other and unknown modes	38	.1	4	_	s	s	s	

# Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-r	niles <sup>1</sup>	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	Average miles per shipment
COMMODITY UNKNOWN							
Total	616	100.0	s	s	s	s	211
Single modes	604	97.9	s	s	s	s	142
Truck <sup>3</sup> For-hire truck Private truck	378 280 98	61.3 45.4 16.0	207 87 120	41.7 17.5 24.2	S S 4	S S 1.4	S 599 22
Rail	s	S	s	S	S	s	925
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	581 S
Multiple modes	10	1.7	1	.2	-	-	344
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	10 - - - -	1.7 - - - -	1 - - - -	.2 - - -	- - - -	- - - -	344 - - - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	1 099

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. 
<sup>2</sup>Estimates exclude shipments of crude petroleum (SCTG 16), 
<sup>3</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. 
<sup>4</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

## Outbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Destination for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
State of destination	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	
Total	293 604	100.0	276 004	100.0	47 088	100.0	
NEW ENGLAND STATES							
Connecticut Maine Massachusetts Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	1 532 453 3 586 709 316 S	.5 .2 1.2 .2 .1 S	336 114 730 283 119 61	.1 - .3 .1 - -	229 106 568 235 99 52	.5 .2 1.2 .5 .2 .1	
MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES							
New Jersey New York Pennsylvania	7 091 7 039 13 896	2.4 2.4 4.7	2 025 2 209 3 368	.7 .8 1.2	1 150 1 475 1 616	2.4 3.1 3.4	
EAST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
Illinois	5 613 4 644 5 480 5 207 1 924	1.9 1.6 1.9 1.8	1 487 1 533 1 626 2 137 578	.5 .6 .8 .2	1 265 949 1 192 1 147 572	2.7 2.0 2.5 2.4 1.2	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	1 087 1 148 1 459 2 223 527 439 71	.4 .4 .5 .8 .2 .1	466 264 475 481 134 88 S	.2 .1 .2 .2   .5	483 305 597 436 185 152 S	1.0 .6 1.3 .9 .4 .3 S	
SOUTH ATLANTIC STATES							
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland North Carolina South Carolina Virginia West Virginia	401 330 12 609 15 452 3 116 115 794 19 171 15 690 1 097	.1 4.3 5.3 1.1 39.4 6.5 5.3	232 40 3 680 6 57 1 473 205 211 13 055 12 680 925	 1.3 2.4 5.7 74.4 4.6 .3	96 13 2 578 2 001 533 9 252 2 003 2 072 280	.2 - 5.5 4.2 1.1 19.6 4.3 4.4	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Alabama . Kentucky Mississippi . Tennessee	3 606 2 676 1 348 7 699	1.2 .9 .5 2.6	1 258 893 534 3 433	.5 .3 .2 1.2	632 392 345 1 070	1.3 .8 .7 2.3	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	1 166 1 304 849 10 380	.4 .4 .3 3.5	479 345 S 2 686	.2 .1 S 1.0	432 282 S 3 364	.9 .6 S 7.1	
MOUNTAIN STATES							
Arizona Colorado Idaho Montana Nevada New Mexico Utah Wyoming	1 807 949 189 127 916 182 595 S	.6.3   -   3   -   2,0	511 204 31 S 81 25 119 S	.2 - - S - - - S	1 107 341 73 \$ 191 42 249 \$	2.4 .7 .2 .8 .4 .5 .5	
PACIFIC STATES							
Alaska. California Hawaii Oregon Washington	249 9 000 35 524 1 498	3.1 - .2 .5	S 1 785 1 135 256	S .6 - -	\$ 4 614 7 383 728	S 9.8 - .8 1.5	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information.

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

## Inbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Origin for State of Destination: 2002

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		
State of origin	2002 (million dollars)	Percent	2002 (thousands)	Percent	2002 (millions)	Percent	
Total	257 179	100.0	327 948	100.0	72 134	100.0	
NEW ENGLAND STATES							
Connecticut Maine Massachusetts Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	1 573 369 3 679 409 309 S	.6 .1 1.4 .2 .1 S	266 S 400 47 80 48	- S .1 - -	200 S 346 39 64 42	.3 8 .5 - -	
MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES							
New Jersey New York Pennsylvania	4 932 4 480 5 479	1.9 1.7 2.1	1 537 2 803 2 280	.5 .9 .7	837 1 876 1 069	1.2 2.6 1.5	
EAST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
Illinois	5 316 3 769 3 741 9 492 2 152	2.1 1.5 1.5 3.7 .8	2 535 2 447 1 444 12 558 1 226	.8 .7 .4 3.8 .4	2 156 1 803 1 082 S 1 290	3.0 2.5 1.5 S 1.8	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	1 770 1 198 1 854 1 563 590 58 172	.7 .5 .7 .6 .2 -	505 1 039 546 658 180 S S	.2 .3 .2 .2 .2 .7 .8 .8	541 1 261 678 613 236 S S	.8 1.7 .9 .8 .3 .3 .5 .5	
SOUTH ATLANTIC STATES							
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland North Carolina South Carolina Virginia West Virginia	341 S 6 142 14 784 1 670 115 794 16 920 9 090 2 011	.1 S 2.4 5.7 6 45.0 6.6 3.5 .8	134 13 2 306 9 974 1 436 205 211 14 777 13 768 14 402	- .7 3.0 4 62.6 4.5 4.2 4.4	52 4 1 325 3 247 537 9 252 2 305 3 255 5 994	 1.8 4.5 .7 12.8 3.2 4.5 8.3	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Alabama Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee	3 125 3 876 1 334 10 584	1.2 1.5 .5 4.1	2 300 20 781 861 4 246	.7 6.3 .3 1.3	1 259 8 925 606 1 547	1.7 12.4 .8 2.1	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	1 481 944 293 6 233	.6 .4 .1 2.4	605 1 388 88 2 500	.2 .4 _ .8	543 1 271 105 3 221	.8 1.8 .1 4.5	
MOUNTAIN STATES							
Arizona Colorado Idaho Montana Nevada New Mexico Utah Wyoming	885 569 S 55 211 S 264 23	3,2,00     0,5,1	S 162 70 58 S 74 94 280	S - - - S - -	S 285 161 138 74 138 196 618	\$ .4 .2 .2 .1 .2 .3 .9	
PACIFIC STATES							
Alaska. California Hawaii. Oregon Washington	S 5 048 S 654 744	\$ 2.0 \$ .3 .3	\$ 882 \$ 160 84	\$ .3 \$ - -	S 2 361 S 475 233	\$ 3.3 \$ .7 .3	

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information.

# Discussion of Survey Changes and Comparing Estimates

The following tables provide comparisons of the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) estimates.

Data users are urged to use caution in comparing estimates from different survey years due to the changes that have occurred in sample design, industry coverage, methodology, commodity classification coding systems, geography, and sample sizes. Appendix A presents change in these areas by survey year.

#### **INDUSTRY COVERAGE CHANGES**

Changes to the 2002 CFS include moving the industry coverage from a Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) based definition in the 1997 CFS to a North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) based definition for the 2002 survey. For the 2002 CFS, this meant that selected industries previously covered in the 1997 CFS using the SIC definitions, were now out-of-scope to the 2002 CFS industry coverage based on the NAICS definitions. The major industries not covered by the 2002 CFS that were included in the 1997 CFS are Logging (NAICS 11331); Newspaper Periodical, Book, and Database Publishers (NAICS 5111); and Music Publishers (NAICS 51223).

To make the 1997 CFS estimates comparable with the 2002 CFS, the 1997 CFS estimates have been revised by removing shipments from establishments in the following industries:

- SIC 2411 Logging
- SIC 2711 Newspapers: Publishing, or Publishing and Printing
- SIC 2721 Periodicals: Publishing, or Publishing and Printing
- SIC 2731 Books: Publishing, or Publishing and Printing
- SIC 2741 Miscellaneous Publishing
- SIC 2771 Greeting Cards

We were not able to adjust the 1997 CFS estimates to account the NAICS coverage changes when only part of a SIC moved out-of-scope. For example, a wholesale industry in-scope to the 1997 CFS—SIC 5171 (Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals)—included Heating Oil Sold Via Retail Method, which is now classified as Retail (NAICS 454311) and is out-of-scope of the 2002 CFS. The majority of the industry remains in-scope to the 2002 CFS industry coverage, therefore we made no adjustment to the 1997 CFS estimates.

No adjustments have been made to the 1993 CFS estimates.

Detailed information about NAICS can be found at www.census.gov/epcd/www/naics.html.

#### **AUXILIARY ESTABLISHMENT COVERAGE CHANGES**

The 2002 CFS improved the coverage of auxiliary establishments. Auxiliary establishments are defined as warehouses and managing offices of multiestablishment companies, which have non-auxiliary establishments that are in-scope to CFS or are classified in retail trade. For the 1997 CFS sampling, managing offices had to have sales or inventory levels of greater than zero in order to be considered for selection. However, research conducted prior to the 2002 CFS showed that not all managing offices with shipping activity in the 1997 CFS indicated sales or inventories in the 1997 Economic Census. Therefore, to provide a more comprehensive coverage of auxiliaries, for the 2002 CFS managing offices were subjected to sampling, regardless of sales or inventories.

#### **COMPARISON DATA AND STATISTICAL VALIDITY**

Changes from the 1997 to 2002 CFS include a decrease in sample size, from approximately 100,000 establishments for the 1997 CFS to about 50,000 establishments for the 2002 survey.

One consequence of the decreased sample size was a substantial increase in the sampling variability for estimates of period-to-period change produced at full detail levels for mode and commodity. Because of the increased variability in many of these categories, one cannot conclude with a high degree of confidence that changes were significant. For a more detailed discussion of sampling variability, see Appendix B. We have provided period-to-period comparisons at the following, higher levels of aggregation for mode of transportation and commodity since the impact of increased sampling variability is less at those levels. For consistency, these aggregation levels are also now used in our Metropolitan Area and Export tables, where appropriate.

# Table 9. Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002 and

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

		Value			Tons			Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		Average	miles per	shipment
Mode of transportation	2002 (million dollars)	1997 (million dollars)	Percent change	2002 (thousands)	1997 (thousands)	Percent change	2002 (millions)	1997 (millions)	Percent change	2002	1997	Percent change
Total	293 604	264 761	10.9	276 004	255 488	8.0	47 088	45 168	4.2	487	386	26.2
Single modes	270 923	240 369	12.7	266 387	251 909	5.7	45 933	43 388	5.9	259	214	21.3
Truck <sup>2</sup>	264 443 2 772 S 2 825 S	231 020 2 512 S 6 257 299	14.5 10.3 S -54.8	241 308 S S 77 S	237 300 11 571 S 97 1 312	1.7 S S -20.4 S	39 049 6 640 S 94 S	37 662 5 396 S 93 S	3.7 23.0 S 1.2 S	250 462 59 1 222 S	181 713 110 1 015 S	38.2 -35.2 -46.3 20.4 S
Multiple modes	16 265	18 084	-10.1	801	674	18.9	695	649	7.2	783	675	16.0
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier . Truck and rail	15 846 332 87	17 677 342 S	-10.4 -2.7 S	662 107 31	538 133 S	23.1 -19.2 S	460 163 S	336 292 S	36.7 -44.1 S	782 1 733 4 969	674 1 504 5 451	16.0 15.2 –8.8
Other and unknown modes	6 416	6 308	1.7	8 816	2 905	203.5	460	1 131	-59.4	76	91	-15.8

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs">www.census.gov/cfs</a>.

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

# Shipment Characteristics by Commodity Group for State of Origin: 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys. Because of rounding, estimates may not be additive]

			Value			Tons			Ton-miles <sup>1</sup>		Average	e miles per ship	ment
SCTG code	Commodity description	2002 (million dollars)	1997 (million dollars)	Percent change	2002 (thousands)	1997 (thousands)	Percent change	2002 (millions)	1997 (millions)	Percent change	2002	1997	Percent change
	Total <sup>2</sup>	293 604	264 761	10.9	276 004	255 488	8.0	47 088	45 168	4.2	487	386	26.2
01-05	Agricultural products and												
06-09	fish	10 970	11 743	-6.6	15 366	16 329	-5.9	3 345	2 751	21.6	163	S	S
	products	28 920	27 935	3.5	14 716	17 076	-13.8	2 536	2 715	-6.6	239	52	358.7
10-14	Stones, nonmetallic minerals, and metallic ores	1 350	1 384	-2.5	84 247	94 322	-10.7	3 800	9 528	-60.1	50	104	-52.0
15-19	Coal and petroleum				-							-	
20-24	products Basic chemicals, chemical,	5 421	7 166	-24.3	20 662	28 054	-26.3	1 139	1 538	-26.0	S	35	S
	and pharmaceutical products	57 513	37 994	51.4	21 572	17 123	26.0	9 358	7 065	32.4	348	287	21.2
25-30	Logs, wood products, and	59 019	77 621	-24.0	43 346	20 005	11.4	11 463	10 827	5.9	746	610	22.3
	textile and leather	59 019	// 621	-24.0	43 346	38 895	11.4	11 463	10 827	5.9	746	610	22.3
31-34 35-38	Base metal and machinery Electronic, motorized	35 027	28 762	21.8	46 667	29 399	58.7	7 424	5 518	34.5	294	320	-8.0
	vehicles, and precision instruments	36 528	45 395	-19.5	4 405	3 536	24.6	2 601	2 195	18.5	408	381	7.0
39-43	Furniture, mixed freight and												
	misc. manufactured prod Commodity unknown	58 239 616	25 157 1 604	131.5 –61.6	24 527 S	10 178 575	141.0 S	5 120 S	2 894 137	77.0 S	626 211	611 266	2.4 –20.9

Note: Value-of-shipments estimates have not been adjusted for price changes. Appendix B tables provide estimated measures of sampling variability. The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentially protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <a href="https://www.census.gov/cfs.">www.census.gov/cfs.</a>

Note: Coverage for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) differs from the previous surveys due to a change from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System to the 1997 North American Industry Classification System and other survey improvements. Therefore, data users are urged to use caution when comparing 2002 CFS estimates with estimates from prior years.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>"Truck" as a single mode includes shipments that were made by only private truck, only for-hire truck, or a combination of private truck and for-hire truck. <sup>3</sup>Estimates for pipeline exclude shipments of crude petroleum.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ton-miles estimates are based on estimated distances traveled along a modeled transportation network. See "Mileage Calculations" section for additional information. <sup>2</sup>Estimates exclude shipments of crude petroleum (SCTG 16).

# Appendix A. Comparability With the 1993 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys

The following tables show a comparison of the key characteristics among the 1993, 1997, and 2002 Commodity Flow Surveys.

#### **Industry Coverage**

1993	1997	2002
Based on 1987 SIC	Based on 1987 SIC	Based on 1997 NAICS <sup>1</sup>
Manufacturing (excluding Printing Trade Services (SIC 279))	Manufacturing (excluding Printing Trade Services (SIC 279))	Manufacturing (excluding Prepress Services (NAICS 323122))
Mining (except mining services (SICs 108, 124, 138, 148) and oil and gas extraction (SICs 131 and 132))	Mining (except mining services (SICs 108,124, 138, 148) and oil and gas extraction (SICs 131 and 132))	Mining (except support activities (NAICS 213) and oil and gas extraction (NAICS 211))
Wholesale (merchants and manufacturers' sales branches and governmentowned liquor stores)	Wholesale (merchants and manufacturers' sales branches and government-owned liquor stores)	
Retail catalog and mail order houses	Retail catalog and mail order houses	Retail electronic shopping and mail order houses
Auxiliaries (e.g., warehouses)	Auxiliaries (e.g., warehouses)	Auxiliaries <sup>2</sup> (e.g., warehouses)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Because of changes in the classification of establishments between SIC and NAICS, establishments classified in the following industries were covered in the 1993 and 1997 surveys, but not in the 2002 survey: NAICS 11331, Logging; NAICS 5111, Newspaper, Periodical, Book, and Database Publishers; and NAICS 51223, Music Publishers. Detailed information about NAICS can be found on the Census Bureau Web site at:

#### **Commodity Classification System**

1993	1997	2002
Standard Transportation Commodity Classification (STCC), developed by the Association of American Railroads (AAR)	Standard Classification of Transported Goods (SCTG)	Standard Classification of Transported Goods (SCTG)

http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naics.html.

<sup>2</sup>Coverage of auxiliaries has been expanded for the 2002 CFS. In comparison, for the 1997 CFS, the number of in-scope managing offices was reduced to a large extent based on the results of the 1992 Economic Census. For the 1997 CFS, a managing office was considered in-scope only if it had sales or end-of-year inventories in the 1992 Census. Research conducted prior to the 2002 CFS showed that not all managing offices with shipping activity in the 1997 CFS indicated sales or inventories in the 1997 Economic Census. Therefore, the 1997 Economic Census results were not used to determine scope for managing offices in the 2002 CFS. For the 2002 survey, the inclusion of an increased number of auxiliaries (intermediary distribution centers) which support the operations of retail stores (most of which are, themselves out-of-scope) has more of an impact on the estimates of value and tonnage and less on ton-miles.

# Appendix B. Reliability of the Estimates

The estimates in this publication may differ from the actual, unknown population values. Statisticians define this difference as the total error of the estimate. When describing the accuracy of survey results, it is convenient to discuss total error as the sum of sampling error and nonsampling error. Sampling error is the average difference between the estimate and the result that would be obtained from a complete enumeration of the sampling frame conducted under the same survey conditions. Nonsampling error encompasses all other factors that contribute to the total error of a sample survey estimate.

The sampling error of the estimates in this publication can be estimated from the selected sample because the sample was selected using probability sampling. Common measures related to sampling error are the sampling variance, the standard error, and the coefficient of variation (CV). The sampling variance is the squared difference, averaged over all possible samples of the same size and design, between the estimator and its average value. The standard error is the square root of the sampling variance. The CV expresses the standard error as a percentage of the estimate to which it refers. This publication presents these measures in Appendix B.

Nonsampling errors are difficult to measure and can be introduced through inadequacies in the questionnaire, nonresponse, inaccurate reporting by respondents, errors in the application of survey procedures, incorrect recording of answers, and errors in data entry and processing. No measures of nonsampling error are presented in this publication, however, every effort is made to minimize their effect on the estimates. Data users should take into account both the measures of sampling error and the potential effects of nonsampling error when using these estimates.

More detailed descriptions of sampling and nonsampling errors for the 2002 CFS are provided in the following sections.

#### **Sampling Error**

Because the estimates are based on a sample, exact agreement with results that would be obtained from a complete enumeration of all shipments made in 2002 from all establishments included on the sampling frame using the same enumeration procedures is not expected. However, because probability sampling was used at each stage of selection, it is possible to estimate the sampling variability of the survey estimates. For CFS estimates, sampling variability arises from each of the three stages of sampling. (See Appendix C for a description of the sample design.)

The particular sample used in this survey is one of a large number of samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same design. If all possible samples had been surveyed under the same conditions, an estimate of a population parameter of interest could have been obtained from each sample. These samples give rise to a distribution of estimates for the population parameter. A statistical measure of the variability among these estimates is the standard error, which can be approximated from any one sample. The *standard error* is defined as the square root of the variance. The *coefficient of variation* (or relative standard error) of an estimator is the standard error of the estimator divided by the estimator. Note that measures of sampling variability, such as the standard error and coefficient of variation, are estimated from the sample and are also subject to sampling variability. (Technically, we should refer to the *estimated* standard error or the *estimated* coefficient of variation of an estimator. However, for the sake of brevity, we have omitted this detail.) It is important to note that the standard error only measures sampling variability. It does not measure systematic biases of the sample. The Census Bureau recommends that individuals using estimates contained in this report incorporate this information into their analyses, as sampling error could affect the conclusions drawn from these estimates.

An estimate from a particular sample and the standard error associated with the estimate can be used to construct a confidence interval. A *confidence interval* is a range about a given estimator that has a specified probability of containing the result of a complete enumeration of the sampling frame conducted under the same survey conditions. Associated with each interval is a percentage of confidence, which is interpreted as follows. If, for each possible sample, an estimate of a population parameter and its approximate standard error were obtained, then:

- 1. For approximately 90 percent of the possible samples, the interval from 1.645 standard errors below to 1.645 standard errors above the estimate would include the result as obtained from a complete enumeration of the sampling frame conducted under the same survey conditions.
- 2. For approximately 95 percent of the possible samples, the interval from 1.96 standard errors below to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the result as obtained from a complete enumeration of the sampling frame conducted under the same survey conditions.

To illustrate the computation of a confidence interval for an estimate of total value of shipments, assume that an estimate of total value is \$10,750 million and the coefficient of variation for this estimate is 1.8 percent, or 0.018. First obtain the standard error of the estimate by multiplying the value of shipments estimate by its coefficient of variation. For this example, multiply \$10,750 million by 0.018. This yields a standard error of \$193.5 million. The upper and lower bounds of the 90-percent confidence interval are computed as \$10,750 million plus or minus 1.645 times \$193.5 million. Consequently, the 90-percent confidence interval is \$10,432 million to \$11,068 million. If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 9 out of 10 (90 percent) of these intervals would contain the result obtained from a complete enumeration.

#### **Nonsampling Error**

Nonsampling error encompasses all other factors that contribute to the total error of a sample survey estimate and may also occur in censuses. It is often helpful to think of nonsampling error as arising from deficiencies or mistakes in the survey process. In the CFS, nonsampling error can be attributed to many sources: inability to obtain information about all units in the sample; response errors; differences in the interpretation of the questions; mistakes in coding or keying the data obtained; and other errors of collection, response, coverage, and processing. Although no direct measurement of the potential biases due to nonsampling error has been obtained, precautionary steps were taken in all phases of the collection, processing, and tabulation of the data in an effort to minimize their influence. The Census Bureau recommends that individuals using estimates in this report incorporate this information into their analyses, as nonsampling error could affect the conclusions drawn from these estimates.

A potential source of bias in the estimates is nonresponse. Nonresponse is defined as the inability to obtain all the intended measurements or responses from all units in the sample. Four levels of nonresponse can occur in the CFS: item, shipment, quarter (reporting week), and establishment. Item nonresponse occurs either when a question is unanswered or the response to the question fails computer or analyst edits. Nonresponse to the shipment value or weight items is corrected by imputation, which is the procedure by which a missing value is replaced by a predicted value obtained from an appropriate model. (See Appendix C for a description of the imputation procedure.) Shipment, quarter, and establishment nonresponse are used to describe the inability to obtain any of the substantive measurements about a sampled shipment, quarter, or establishment, respectively. Shipment and quarter nonresponse are corrected by reweighting. Reweighting allocates characteristics to the nonrespondents in proportion to the characteristics observed for the respondents. The amount of bias introduced by this nonresponse adjustment procedure depends on the extent to which the nonrespondents differ, characteristically, from the respondents. Establishment nonresponse is corrected during the estimation procedure by the industrylevel adjustment weight. (See Appendix C for a description of the estimation procedure.) In most cases of establishment nonresponse, none of the four questionnaires have been returned to the Census Bureau, after several attempts to elicit a response. Approximately 63 percent of the establishments provided at least one quarter of data that contributed to tabulation.

Some possible sources of bias that are attributed to respondent-conducted sampling include misunderstanding the definition of a shipment, constructing an incomplete frame of shipments from which to sample, ordering the shipment sampling frame by selected shipment characteristics, and selecting shipment records by a method other than the one specified in the questionnaire's instructions. We often contact respondents who reported shipments having an untypically large value or weight when compared to the rest of their reported shipments. Upon contact, if we are able to collect information on all of a given respondent's large shipments made either for a particular reporting week or for the entire quarter, then we identify these large shipments as certainty shipments. (See Appendix C for a description of how certainty shipments are used in the estimation process.)

#### **DEFINITION OF TERMS**

#### Confidentiality

Title 13 of the United States Code authorizes the Census Bureau to conduct censuses and surveys. Section 9 of the same Title requires that any information collected from the public under the authority of Title 13 be maintained as confidential. Section 214 of Title 13 and Sections 3559 and 3571 of Title 18 of the United States Code provide for the imposition of penalties of up to 5 years in prison and up to \$250,000 in fines for wrongful disclosure of confidential census information. In accordance with Title 13, no estimates are published that would disclose the operations of an individual firm.

The Census Bureau's internal Disclosure Review Board sets the confidentiality rules for all data releases. A checklist approach is used to ensure that all potential risks to the confidentiality of the data are considered and addressed.

#### **Disclosure Limitation**

Disclosure is the release of data that have been deemed confidential. It generally reveals information about a specific individual or establishment or permits deduction of sensitive information about a particular individual or establishment. Disclosure limitation is the process used to protect the confidentiality of the survey data provided by an individual or firm. Using disclosure limitation procedures, the Census Bureau modifies or removes the characteristics that put confidential information at risk for disclosure. Although it may appear that a table shows information about a specific individual or business, the Census Bureau has taken steps to disguise or suppress the original data while making sure the results are still useful. The techniques used by the Census Bureau to protect confidentiality in tabulations vary, depending on the type of data.

#### **Unpublished Estimates**

Some unpublished estimates can be derived directly from this report by subtracting published estimates from their respective totals. However, the estimates obtained by such subtraction would be subject to poor response, high sampling variability, or other factors that may make them potentially misleading.

Individuals who use estimates in this report to create new estimates should cite the Census Bureau as the source of only the original estimates.

## Sample Size

1993	1997	2002		
Approximately 200,000 establishments selected from a universe of about 790,000 in-scope establishments.	Approximately 100,000 establishments selected from a universe of about 770,000 in-scope establishments.	Approximately 50,000 establishments selected from a universe of about 760,000 in-scope establishments.		

# **Survey Methodology**

1993	1997	2002		
Respondents reported for a sample of their individual outbound shipments for a 2-week period during each of the four calendar quarters of the reference year.	Respondents reported for a sample of their individual outbound shipments for a 1-week period during each of the four calendar quarters of the reference year.	of their individual outbound shipments for a 1-week period		
Respondents reported key characteristics for each sampled shipment	Respondents reported key characteristics for each sampled shipment.	Respondents reported key characteristics for each sampled shipment.		

## **Reported Mode of Transportation**

1993	1997	2002
For-hire truck Private truck Rail Air Inland Water Deep Sea Water Pipeline Parcel, U.S. Postal Service, or courier Other Unknown	For-hire truck Private truck Rail Air Shallow draft vessel Deep draft vessel Pipeline Parcel, U.S. Postal Service, or courier Other Unknown	For-hire truck Private truck Rail Air Shallow draft vessel Deep draft vessel Pipeline Parcel, U.S. Postal Service, or courier Other Unknown

# **Data Items Requested**

1993	1997	2002
For each shipment:	For each shipment:	For each shipment:
Total value Total weight Commodity that contributes the most to the shipment's weight (STCC)	Total value Total weight Commodity that contributes the most to the shipment's weight (SCTG)	Total value Total weight Commodity that contributes the most to the shipment's weight (SCTG)
All known modes of transportation	All known modes of transportation	All known modes of transportation
Single origin (assumed to be the mailing address unless the respondent provided a different physical location address)	Single origin (assumed to be the mailing address unless the respondent provided a different physical location address)	Single origin (assumed to be the mailing address unless the respondent provided a different physical location address)
Destination Containerized (Y/N) Hazardous material (Y/N)	Destination Containerized (Y/N) Hazardous material (UN/NA) code	Destination Hazardous material (UN/NA) code
Export (Y/N) If export: mode of export, foreign city and country of destination; U.S. port, airport, or border crossing of exit.	Export (Y/N)  If export: mode of export, foreign city and country of destination;  U.S. port, airport, or border crossing of exit.	Export (Y/N)  If export: mode of export, foreign city and country of destination; U.S. port, airport, or border crossing of exit.

# Table B-1a. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

	Val	ue	To	ns	Ton-		
Mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
Total	5.1	-	11.8	-	10.6	-	7.2
Single modes	5.2	.7	11.3	.8	10.9	.4	8.3
Truck	5.4 8.1 10.9	1.0 3.1 3.2	9.8 12.5 12.6	2.7 2.0 3.8	8.8 11.1 7.3	2.5 2.2 2.0	8.4 6.5 16.7
Rail	22.2	.3	S	s	30.2	2.5	21.2
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	S	S S	S S	S	S	S S	27.4 27.4
Deep draft	S	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
Air (includes truck and air)	22.2 S	.2 S	27.8 S	- S	36.3 S	- S	8.3 S
Multiple modes	11.3	.5	19.5	-	14.4	.3	6.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier . Truck and rail . Truck and water . Rail and water . Other multiple modes .	12.0 48.7 42.7 –	.5 - - -	19.6 48.5 41.5 —	- - - -	19.8 33.5 S – –	.3 .1 S -	6.6 24.2 21.6 –
Other and unknown modes	21.1	.5	38.1	.8	16.1	.2	27.4

Note: The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at www.census.gov/cfs

Table B-1b. Estimated Standard Errors of Percentage for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys]

Made of transportation	Value (p	percent)	Tons (p	percent)	Ton-miles (percent)		
Mode of transportation	2002	1997	2002	1997	2002	1997	
Total	-	-	_	_	_	_	
Single modes	.7	.5	.8	.1	.4	.6	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	1.0 3.1 3.2	.9 2.3 2.1	2.7 2.0 3.8	1.3 2.9 3.1	2.5 2.2 2.0	1.2 2.7 2.3	
Rail	.3	.1	s	.7	2.5	.9	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	\$ s - s	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - \$	\$ \$ - -	\$ s - s	S S - -	
Air (includes truck and air)	.2 S	.8 –	- S	_ .2	- S	s	
Multiple modes	.5	.4	_	-	.3	.2	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	.5 - - - -	.4 - S - S	- - - - -	- - S - S	.3 .1 S -	- .2 .8  .8	
Other and unknown modes	.5	.2	.8	.1	.2	.6	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Table B-2. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Total Modal Activity for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

	Ton-r	miles	
Mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
Total	10.6	-	7.2
Truck Rail Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	8.8 30.2 S - S	2.5 2.5 S - S	8.4 21.2 27.4 - 31.6
Air Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Pipeline Other and unknown modes	36.3 S S 16.1	- S S .2	8.3 S S 27.4

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Table B-3. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002

Coefficient of proteining   Standard error of proteining   S		Val	lue	Tons		Ton-miles		
See See 10 Profiles	Mode of transportation and distance shipped (based on Great Circle Distance)							
10.00 marker   1.00 marker	Total	5.1	_	11.8	-	10.6	_	
150 to								
Solit   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	100 to 249 miles	7.5	.6	10.1	1.4	9.5	1.2	
1,000 to 1,400 miles								
1,000 to 1,000 miles   1,000 miles   1,000 to 1,000 miles   1,000	750 to 999 miles		.5		.2			
September   100	1,500 to 1,999 miles	25.2	.3	35.8	.1	36.3	.8	
Less team 50 ordines			.5				1.7	
25   25   25   26   25   25   26   26			25				- 11	
250 to 496 miles	50 to 99 miles	13.7	1.2	33.2	2.8	44.9	2.5	
12-9	250 to 499 miles	6.5	1.4	6.1	.8	6.3	1.4	
1,000   1,486 miles								
2,000 miles or more   17.5   5   22.3   .2   21.8   18.5	1,000 to 1,499 miles	11.4	.3	22.3	.2	21.4	1.0	
Less than 50 miles					.1 .2		.8 1.8	
100 per	Truck	5.4	_	9.8	_	8.8	_	
100 to 240 miles					2.3		1.2	
500 to 749 miles	100 to 249 miles	7.8	.7	10.9	1.3	10.2	1.3	
1.500 to 1.999 miles	250 to 499 miles				.9 .5			
1.500 to 1.999 miles	750 to 999 miles	12.6	.5	12.1	.2	11.9		
2,000 miles or more   17.8   5   23.8   2   23.3   19.	1,000 to 1,499 miles		.3 .3	24.8 36.6	.2 .1		1.3 .9	
Lass than 50 miles	2,000 miles or more	17.8	.5		.2	23.3	1.9	
50 to 99 miles	For-hire truck	8.1	-	12.5	-	11.1	-	
100 to 249 miles							1.0	
500 to 749 miles	100 to 249 miles	10.0	.6	11.9	1.7	11.4	1.1	
1,000   1,499 miles							1.3	
1,500 to 1,999 miles							.8	
Private truck	1,500 to 1,999 miles	27.8	.4	37.1	.3	37.5	1.0	
Less than 50 miles			./				2.1	
50 to 99 miles			-				-	
250 to 499 miles	50 to 99 miles	9.8	1.0	18.4	1.1	18.1	1.9	
750 to 999 miles	250 to 499 miles	27.3	1.4	9.7	1.2 .3	9.6	1.3	
1,000 to 1,499 miles					.2			
Rail	1,000 to 1,499 miles		.3		_ .1		.9 1.7	
Less than 50 miles   36.2   7	1,500 to 1,999 miles		S -		_ _		.1	
S	Rail	22.2	_	s	s	30.2	_	
S	Less than 50 miles	36.2	.7	45.5	1.1	39.0	.2	
250 to 499 miles   28.6   6.7   31.6   2.9   30.2   2.5   30.5   8.6   37.5   8.2   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   8.6   37.5   37.5   8.6   37.5   37.5   8.6   37.5   37.	50 to 99 miles	S		S	S	S		
750 to 999 miles	250 to 499 miles		6.7	31.6	2.9	30.2	2.5	
1,000 to 1,499 miles								
Water	1,000 to 1,499 miles	S					3.3	
Less than 50 miles         S		42.5	2.0	S	S	S	S	
50 to 99 miles	Water	s	s	S	S	s	s	
100 to 249 miles		S	S	S	S	S	S	
500 to 749 miles       -	100 to 249 miles	S					S	
1,000 to 1,499 miles       -						_ _	_	
1,500 to 1,999 miles       -		_	-	_	-	_	-	
Shallow draft         S         <	1,500 to 1,999 miles			-	_	_	Ξ	
Less than 50 miles         S						-	-	
50 to 99 miles								
250 to 499 miles	50 to 99 miles	_	_	_	_	_	_	
750 to 999 miles	250 to 499 miles	S -	-	-	_	S -	S -	
1,000 to 1,499 miles		-	_	-	-	-	_	
1,500 to 1,999 miles	1,000 to 1,499 miles				_ _	_		
			=		_ _	_		

Table B-3. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Constituence   Cons	[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2	,		Tons		Ton-miles		
Company   Comp		Coefficient of	Standard error of	Coefficient of	Standard error of	Coefficient of	Standard error of	
	Single modes—Con.							
Store   Stor	Great Lakes	_	_	_	_	_	_	
150 to 154 miles	Less than 50 miles	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Solit bill bill bill bill bill bill bill b	50 to 99 miles	-				=	-	
175   10 000 miles	250 to 499 miles	_			=	=	=	
1,000 to 1,400 miles	500 to 749 miles	=	=	=	=	=	=	
1,500 to 1,950 miles		_	<del>-</del>	_ _	_ _	_	_	
Level that 50 miles	1,500 to 1,999 miles	-	-			-	-	
Less Tans Ornible   S		_	_	_	_	_	_	
20 to 190 miles	·	_						
100 to 240 miles	Less than 50 miles	S	S -			S -	S -	
500 to 74 miles	100 to 249 miles	-	-			-	_	
1,000 to 1,459 miles	500 to 749 miles					_	_	
1.500 to 1,989 miles	750 to 999 miles	-	_	-	_	_	_	
2,000 miles or troors		_ _	_ _			_ _	_ _	
Less Pars 50 miles		-	-	-		-	-	
150 to 749 miles	Air (includes truck and air)	22.2	_	27.8	_	36.3	-	
150 to 749 miles				s	S	S	s	
150 to 749 miles	50 to 99 miles	S	S	S	S	S	S	
750   Desp miles	250 to 499 miles	30.4	6.9	46.8	8.9	49.8	<u>6</u> .9	
2,000 miles or more								
2,000 miles or more				S	S		S	
Pipeline	1,500 to 1,999 miles			S	S	S		
Less than 50 miles								
Solit of pulses								
Totologon   Page	50 to 99 miles			5 S	S	S	S	
Totologon   Page	100 to 249 miles	- S	_ S	- S	_ S	S S	S S	
Multiple modes	500 to 749 miles	_	_	-		S	Š	
Multiple modes	750 to 999 miles	=	=	=	-	S	S	
Multiple modes	1,000 to 1,499 miles	_				S	S	
Less than 50 miles   29.9   2.2   30.5   1.3   32.8	2,000 miles or more	-	_	-	_	S	S	
50 to 99 miles	Multiple modes	11.3	-	19.5	-	14.4	-	
250 to 499 miles							- 2	
13.4   1.8   12.2   3.2   12.1   3.4   3.4   3.5   3	100 to 249 miles	22.1	1.8	26.1	1.3	24.3	.6	
Tool to 1999 miles	250 to 499 miles				2.6 3.2		2.6 3.4	
1,000 to 1,499 miles		12.7		23.4				
2,000 miles or more   15.6   1.2   19.4   3.3   19.8   6.6	1,000 to 1,499 miles	18.5	1.1	17.2	.5	17.0	.8	
Less than 50 miles	2,000 miles or more				3.3 3.3			
Less than 50 miles	Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	12.0	_	19.6	_	19.8	_	
50 to 99 miles   25.5   1.5   33.1   1.6   33.3   3.7			2.2		1.6		1	
250 to 499 miles   13.2	50 to 99 miles	25.5	1.5	33.1	1.6	33.3	.3	
500 to 749 miles     14.5     2.0     16.1     2.8     16.1     2.9       750 to 999 miles     11.2     .9     26.0     .8     26.1     .8       1,000 to 1,499 miles     18.5     1.1     17.3     .8     17.1     1.4       1,500 to 1,999 miles     23.8     .4     20.0     .3     20.0     .8       2,000 miles or more     16.9     1.0     29.0     .3     20.0     .8       7 truck and rail     48.7     -     48.5     -     33.5     -       Less than 50 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       100 to 249 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       250 to 499 miles     S     S     S     S     S     S       50 to 999 miles     S     S     S     S     S     S       750 to 999 miles     S     S     S     S     S     S     S       750 to 999 miles     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S       750 to 999 miles     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S     S	100 to 249 miles				1.9 1.8			
1,000 to 1,499 miles     18.5     1.1     17.3     8     17.1     1.4       1,500 to 1,999 miles     23.8     .4     20.0     .3     20.0     .8       2,000 miles or more     16.9     1.0     29.0     1.2     28.8     3.4       Truck and rail     48.7     -     48.5     -     33.5     -       Less than 50 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       50 to 99 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       100 to 249 miles     -			2.0	16.1	2.8			
1,500 to 1,999 miles     23.8     4     20.0     3     20.0     8       2,000 miles or more     16.9     1.0     29.0     1.2     28.8     3.4       Truck and rail     48.7     -     48.5     -     33.5     -       Less than 50 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       50 to 99 miles     - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
2,000 miles or more       16.9       1.0       29.0       1.2       28.8       3.4         Truck and rail       48.7       -       48.5       -       33.5       -         Less than 50 miles       -	1,000 to 1,499 miles				.3		.8	
Less than 50 miles         -	2,000 miles or more	16.9	1.0	29.0	1.2	28.8	3.4	
50 to 99 miles         -	Truck and rail	48.7	-	48.5	-	33.5	-	
100 to 249 miles		_		_			_	
250 to 499 miles       S				<u>-</u>		_	_ _	
750 to 999 miles	250 to 499 miles	S	S	S	S	S	S	
2,000 miles or more     S     S     38.0     13.4     37.9     9.3       Truck and water     42.7     -     41.5     -     S     S       Less than 50 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -       50 to 99 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -		_						
2,000 miles or more     S     S     38.0     13.4     37.9     9.3       Truck and water     42.7     -     41.5     -     S     S       Less than 50 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -       50 to 99 miles     -     -     -     -     -     -		S	S S	S S	S S	S	S S	
Truck and water       42.7       -       41.5       -       S       S         Less than 50 miles       - <td>1,500 to 1,999 miles</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S</td>	1,500 to 1,999 miles	S	S	S			S	
Less than 50 miles		_						
50 to 99 miles		42.7	_	41.5	_	S	s	
100 to 249 miles	Less than 50 miles	_	_	_	_	_	_	
C.D. D. 1 3 3 5 5 5	100 to 249 miles	S	S	S	S	S	S	
500 to 749 miles		S	S	S	S	S	S	
750 to 999 miles	750 to 999 miles	s	s	s	s	s	S	
1,000 to 1,499 miles	1,000 to 1,499 miles	-			_	-	_	
1,500 to 1,999 miles		l s	S	s	S		s	

# Table B-3. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Distance Shipped for State of Origin: 2002-Con.

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

Made of house substitute and disharm a chicara	Value		То	ns	Ton-miles		
Mode of transportation and distance shipped (based on Great Circle Distance)	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	
Multiple modes—Con.							
Rail and water	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Less than 50 miles 50 to 99 miles 100 to 249 miles 250 to 499 miles 500 to 749 miles	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	
750 to 999 miles	- - - -		- - -	_ _ _	- - -	_ _ _	
Other multiple modes	_	-	-	-	_	-	
Less than 50 miles	- - - -	- - - -		- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	
750 to 999 miles	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Other and unknown modes	21.1	-	38.1	-	16.1	-	
Less than 50 miles	24.9 44.5 27.4 27.2 45.1	8.1 3.5 3.4 1.3 5.1	43.1 32.0 27.4 21.9 30.4	13.0 4.6 4.2 2.8 1.9	34.1 30.8 26.9 20.7 29.6	8.0 2.0 2.6 2.5 3.8	
750 to 999 miles 1,000 to 1,499 miles 1,500 to 1,999 miles 2,000 miles or more	39.6 42.9 S 35.9	1.6 .5 S .4	40.8 S S S	1.6 S S S	40.5 S S S	3.3 S S S	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Table B-4. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commount	Vali	110	To	ine	Ton-	miles	
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
Total	5.1	-	11.8	_	10.6	_	7.2
Less than 50 lb	11.7 6.9 7.0 8.7 14.4	.5 .1 .3 .3	17.0 9.3 9.8 7.0 14.8	- - .2 - -	24.1 13.5 13.3 13.9 13.2	.2 - .3 .1 .1	8.7 13.5 6.8 13.2 13.4
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	11.4 7.8 13.0 17.0	2.5 2.9 .4 .3	12.5 12.8 14.5 35.4	1.3 2.9 2.0 2.3	16.5 8.9 12.8 27.3	1.7 2.5 .7 2.5	11.2 12.4 11.9 33.2
Single modes  Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	5.2 18.3 9.7 6.4 9.0 14.3	.4 .1 .3 .3	11.3 21.7 16.0 10.7 7.0 15.2	- - .1 -	10.9 7.2 14.0 15.2 14.0 13.8	- - .3 .1	8.3 19.6 20.9 9.3 13.3 14.2
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	11.2 7.8 13.6 17.3	2.6 2.9 .4 .4	13.1 12.2 14.6 38.2	1.3 3.1 2.0 2.4	17.0 9.1 12.9 27.4	1.7 2.6 .7 2.6	11.4 12.1 11.9 31.5
Truck <sup>2</sup>	5.4	-	9.8	-	8.8	-	8.4
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 50 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 500 to 749 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	19.1 10.6 7.1 8.9 14.4	.4 .1 .4 .3 .3	22.1 16.0 9.9 6.6 14.3		6.7 15.0 15.2 14.2 13.8	- .3 .1	21.6 21.0 9.0 13.0 12.6
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	11.4 7.9 13.7 27.4	2.7 2.9 .4 .2	12.9 12.2 14.6 19.0	1.4 2.3 2.2 .7	17.1 9.1 12.9 24.4	2.0 2.2 .8 .4	10.9 12.1 12.0 45.4
For-hire truck	8.1	-	12.5	-	11.1	-	6.5
Less than 50 lb	29.1 16.3 9.9 11.4 9.3	.4 - .6 .5 .2	18.5 12.6 11.8 11.9 8.5	- - .2 .1 -	12.4 14.7 16.3 17.4 15.5	- .3 .2 .1	10.4 10.6 7.6 14.3 8.7
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	12.7 8.6 14.5 35.0	1.9 2.4 .2 .2	12.8 11.9 25.6 35.2	1.8 2.4 3.0 .8	19.5 10.8 21.1 27.4	2.0 2.2 .8 .4	8.9 11.3 20.5 S
Private truck	10.9	-	12.6	-	7.3	-	16.7
Less than 50 lb	24.2 13.4 8.8 19.5 28.5	1.0 .4 .9 .5 .6	30.9 18.8 12.2 12.3 20.3	- - .2 .1 .1	22.5 25.6 17.8 14.2 17.8	- .2 .1	28.4 31.3 23.1 19.3 16.4
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	21.1 21.3 21.9 32.2	4.6 5.7 1.0 .2	16.3 17.6 20.4 25.2	2.3 4.0 3.1 1.1	19.9 11.5 15.2 25.1	3.1 3.6 1.9 1.1	8.0 10.4 11.0 37.4
Rail	22.2	_	S	S	30.2	_	21.2
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 50 to 749 lb 50 to 999 lb	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8888 -	\$ \$ \$ \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ -	9999	\$ \$ \$ \$	36.1 41.7 38.0 31.6
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	\$ 47.7 45.7 22.4	S 1.5 1.3 2.8	\$ 34.6 40.7 \$	S .2 .4 S	\$ 38.4 \$ 30.7	S .3 S 1.0	31.6 20.9 27.7 30.8
Water	s	s	s	s	s	s	27.4
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 50 to 649 lb 50 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb 100 to 999 lb	- - - -	- - - -		- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - s	- - - S	- - 27.4
Shallow draft	s	s	s	s	s	s	27.4
Less than 50 lb 50 to 99 lb 100 to 499 lb 500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - - -	- - - -
1,000 to 9,999 lb 10,000 to 49,999 lb 50,000 to 99,999 lb 100,000 lb or more	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - - S	- - 27.4

Table B-4. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
Single modes—Con.							
Great Lakes	-	_	-	_	-	_	_
Less than 50 lb	_	_	-	-	-	_	-
50 to 99 lb		_		_ _	_	_	_
500 to 749 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
10,000 to 49,999 lb	-	-	=	_	=	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	_	_	_	_ _	_	_	_
Deep draft	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Less than 50 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
50 to 99 lb	_	_	_ _		_ _	_	_
500 to 749 lb	_	_	_		_	_	
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
10,000 to 49,999 lb	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	s	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
Air (includes truck and air)	22.2	_	27.8	_	36.3	_	8.3
Less than 50 lb	21.8	4.2	36.0	2.4	30.1	2.0	8.5
50 to 99 lb	28.2 34.9	2.3 5.4	23.2 29.7	3.3 5.9	29.6 32.6	3.3 7.2	6.2 9.0
500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	S 32.8	S 1.1	30.0 S	1.3 S	39.0 S	3.2 S	20.6 24.1
1,000 to 9,999 lb	35.1	5.8	35.8	6.8	28.1	4.8	30.2
10,000 to 49,999 lb	S	S	S	S	S	S	27.6
50,000 to 99,999 lb	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	31.6 31.6
Pipeline <sup>3</sup>	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Less than 50 lb	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
50 to 99 lb	S S	S	S S	S	S S	S S	S S
500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	s s	\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	S S S S S	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	S	88888
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	S	S	s	S	s	
10,000 to 49,999 lb	Š	S	S	S	S	S	\$ \$ \$ \$
50,000 to 99,999 lb	s	S	S	S	S S	S S	S
Multiple modes	11.3	_	19.5	_	14.4	_	6.6
Less than 50 lb	12.8	3.0	23.9	4.5	29.2	5.5	6.8
50 to 99 lb	11.5 18.7	1.0 2.0	24.1 20.8	1.8 3.3	21.7 24.7	2.8 4.1	10.9 5.4
500 to 749 lb	37.3 35.0	.4 .6	41.6 26.3	.9 .6	26.7 43.4	.4 .5	S
1,000 to 9,999 lb	38.4	.2	S .	S	S	S	25.5
10,000 to 49,999 lb	43.9	1.3	42.0	3.9	30.5	7.5	19.1
50,000 to 99,999 lb	s	s	S	s	S	s	31.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	12.0	_	19.6	_	19.8	_	6.6
Less than 50 lb	12.8	2.7	23.9	4.3	29.2	4.4	6.8
50 to 99 lb	18.7	2.0	24.1 20.8	3.3	21.7 24.7	3.6	10.9 5.5
500 to 749 lb	37.8 38.8	.4	42.1 27.7	1.0 .9	26.6 49.0	.6 .7	S 47.6
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	s	s	s	s	s	30.2
10,000 to 49,999 lb	_		_ _		_ _		_ _
100,000 lb or more	-	_	_	-	_	_	-
Truck and rail	48.7	_	48.5	_	33.5	_	24.2
Less than 50 lb	S	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
100 to 499 lb	s	S	S	S	S	S	30.2
500 to 749 lb	S S	S S	S S	S S S	S S	S S	30.3 31.1
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	s	S	s	S	s	29.9
10,000 to 49,999 lb. 50,000 to 99,999 lb.	s -	S -	S S	S S	34.8	7.9	22.9
100,000 lb or more	S	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
Truck and water	42.7	_	41.5	-	s	s	21.6
Less than 50 lb	s	S	s	s	S S	S	27.9
50 to 99 lb	S S	S S	S S S S	S S S S	S S S	S S	31.6 31.7
500 to 749 lb 750 to 999 lb	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	31.3
1,000 to 9,999 lb	s	S	S		S	s	28.9
10,000 to 49,999 lb	Š	S	S	S S	49.2	13.5	26.9
50,000 to 99,999 lb	Ι Ξ		_	-	=	=	

# Table B-4. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation and Shipment Weight for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
Mode of transportation and shipment weight	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
Multiple modes — Con.							
Rail and water	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Less than 50 lb	_	_	-	-	-	_	-
50 to 99 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
100 to 499 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
750 to 999 lb	=		_		-		_
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
10,000 to 49,999 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
100,000 lb or more	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other multiple modes	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Less than 50 lb			_		-		_ _
100 to 499 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
500 to 749 lb	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
750 to 999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1,000 to 9,999 lb	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
50,000 to 99,999 lb	]		_		_		_
100,000 lb or more	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
Other and unknown modes	21.1	_	38.1	_	16.1	_	27.4
Less than 50 lb	30.6	1.7	47.7	.7	16.5	_	38.7
50 to 99 lb	30.8	.4	30.4	.2	27.9	.1	S
100 to 499 lb	29.0	1.8	30.0	.9	26.8	.5	S
500 to 749 lb	44.7	1.6	30.3	.4	44.9	.6	46.1
750 to 999 lb	S	S	32.1	.5	S	S	40.0
1,000 to 9,999 lb	37.4	7.3	20.7	8.8	30.4	4.7	22.5
10,000 to 49,999 lb	12.7	6.0	39.2	8.3	9.3	5.4	S S
50,000 to 99,999 lb	42.9	2.3	S	S	48.1	1.5	S
100,000 lb or more	S	S	S	S	S	S	30.3

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Table B-5a. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

		Value		To	ns	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code	Commodity description	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
	Total	5.1	-	11.8	-	10.6	-	7.2
01 02 03 04 05	Live animals and live fish Cereal grains Other agricultural products Animal feed and products of animal origin, n.e.c. Meat, fish, seafood, and their preparations	- S 43.2 48.0 18.5	- S .4 .2 .3	- S S 44.6 19.5	- S S 1.3	- S 38.6 S 30.9	- S .3 S 1.0	31.6 S 48.5 35.0
06 07 08 09 10	Milled grain products and preparations, and bakery products Other prepared foodstuffs and fats and oils Alcoholic beverages Tobacco products Monumental or building stone	49.6 16.4 25.6 30.6 S	.2 .3 .3 1.9 S	\$ 22.5 20.7 \$ \$	\$ .7 .3 \$ \$	43.9 16.5 35.7 33.0 S	.3 .7 .5 .1 S	S S 46.6 17.9 31.5
11 12 13 14 15	Natural sands. Gravel and crushed stone Nonmetallic minerals n.e.c. Metallic ores and concentrates Coal	24.0 9.6 S 44.7	- S - -	43.9 10.5 S 40.5	2.6 2.4 S - -	37.7 13.8 41.4 41.1	1.2 .3 .3 - -	33.3 9.6 24.6 40.5
17 18 19 20 21	Gasoline and aviation turbine fuel. Fuel oils. Coal and petroleum products, n.e.c. Basic chemicals. Pharmaceutical products	14.9 43.9 S 26.5 20.7	.2 .3 S .4 1.9	15.6 44.8 49.8 38.1 35.1	.8 1.5 .4 .5	26.6 29.7 S 21.3 38.6	.3 .3 S .5	20.5 47.7 S S 45.1
22 23 24 25 26	Fertilizers Chemical products and preparations, n.e.c. Plastics and rubber Logs and other wood in the rough Wood products	\$ 21.9 \$ \$ 10.0	S .6 S S	\$ 38.7 43.8 \$ 44.0	S .6 1.2 S 2.7	\$ 28.6 \$ \$ 35.6	S 1.1 S S 2.2	24.4 26.8 16.1 S 18.1
27 28 29 30 31	Pulp, newsprint, paper, and paperboard Paper or paperboard articles Printed products Textiles, leather, and articles of textiles or leather Nonmetallic mineral products	23.0 24.3 31.4 20.2 40.3	.4 .3 .3 2.3 1.3	24.6 24.7 19.3 12.8 35.5	.6 .2 - .3 3.6	24.7 21.8 25.9 11.1 39.9	.6 .3 .2 .8 2.4	9.9 31.4 16.8 7.6 32.3
32 33 34 35	Base metal in primary or semifinished forms and in finished basic shapes.  Articles of base metal Machinery. Electronic and other electrical equipment and components and office equipment	27.0 18.3 4.9	.7 .4 .3	22.4 30.1 9.2 12.3	.5 .4 .1	28.0 32.7 14.1	1.3 .8 .4	24.6 14.9 12.5
36 37	Motorized and other vehicles (including parts)	19.4	.8	23.6 S	.3 S	35.1 S	1.0 S	38.6 20.3
38 39	Precision instruments and apparatus	35.6	.3	43.3	-	S	S	28.8
40 41 43 	illuminated signs Miscellaneous manufactured products Waste and scrap Mixed freight Commodity unknown	18.3 14.2 S 12.7 39.3	.8 .5 S 1.3 .1	13.9 29.9 44.2 21.5 S	.6 .1 .8 S	14.3 22.2 45.8 19.4 S	.3 1.0 - 1.2 S	11.6 7.4 36.8 22.5 33.4

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Table B-5b. Estimated Standard Errors for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity for State of Origin: Percent of Total for 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys]

SCTG	Commodite de cristian	Value (p	percent)	Tons (p	ercent)	Ton-miles <sup>1</sup> (percent)		
code	Commodity description	2002	1997	2002	1997	2002	1997	
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	_	
01 02 03 04 05	Live animals and live fish Cereal grains Other agricultural products Animal feed and products of animal origin, n.e.c. Meat, fish, seafood, and their preparations	- S .4 .2 .3	S - .3 .1 .3	- S S 1.3 .3	\$ .2 .4 .5	- S .3 S 1.0	.1 .2 .3 .4 .4	
06 07 08 09 10	Milled grain products and preparations, and bakery products. Other prepared foodstuffs and fats and oils. Alcoholic beverages. Tobacco products. Monumental or building stone.	.2 .3 .3 1.9 S	.2 .6 - 1.8 S	\$ .7 .3 \$ \$	.1 1.0 .1 .3 S	.3 .7 .5 .1	.2 .7 .2 .2	
11 12 13 14 15	Natural sands. Gravel and crushed stone Nonmetallic minerals n.e.c. Metallic ores and concentrates Coal	- - - -	- - 8 8	2.6 2.4 S -	2.4 2.0 .3 S	1.2 .3 .3 - -	\$ 2.2 .6 \$ \$	
17 18 19 20 21	Gasoline and aviation turbine fuel Fuel oils Coal and petroleum products, n.e.c. Basic chemicals Pharmaceutical products	.2 .3 S .4 1.9	.1 .2 .1 .2 1.0	.8 1.5 .4 .5	.5 .7 1.0 .3 .4	.3 .3 S .5 1.3	.2 .3 .5 .7 .5	
22 23 24 25 26	Fertilizers Chemical products and preparations, n.e.c. Plastics and rubber Logs and other wood in the rough Wood products	9 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	.1 .4 .4 - .2	S .6 1.2 S 2.7	.8 - .3 .2 1.7	\$ 1.1 \$ \$ 2.2	1.3 .2 .6 .1 1.0	
27 28 29 30 31	Pulp, newsprint, paper, and paperboard Paper or paperboard articles Printed products Textiles, leather, and articles of textiles or leather Nonmetallic mineral products	.4 .3 .3 2.3 1.3	.3 .2 .2 4.6 .3	.6 .2 - .3 3.6	.3 .1 - .4 1.8	.6 .3 .2 .8 2.4	1.5 .2 .1 .5 .5	
32 33 34 35 36	Base metal in primary or semifinished forms and in finished basic shapes Articles of base metal Machinery Electronic and other electrical equipment and components and office equipment Motorized and other vehicles (including parts)	.7 .4 .3 .8	.2 .4 .6 3.5	.5 .4 .1 .1	.2 .2 - .1 -	1.3 .8 .4 .5 1.0	.9 .4 .3 .6	
37 38 39 40 41 43	Transportation equipment, n.e.c. Precision instruments and apparatus Furniture, mattresses and mattress supports, lamps, lighting fittings, and illuminated signs Miscellaneous manufactured products Waste and scrap Mixed freight Commodity unknown	.3 .8 .5 S 1.3 .1	.1 - .4 .4 - .2 .2	S - - .6 .1 .8 S	- - .4 .2 .2 .1	.3 1.0 - 1.2 S	.2 .4 .2 .1 .1	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002

=	,						
	Vali	ue	То	ns	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
ALL COMMODITIES							
Total	5.1	_	11.8	_	10.6	_	7.2
Single modes	5.2	.7	11.3	.8	10.9	.4	8.3
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	5.4 8.1 10.9	1.0 3.1 3.2	9.8 12.5 12.6	2.7 2.0 3.8	8.8 11.1 7.3	2.5 2.2 2.0	8.4 6.5 16.7
Rail	22.2	.3	S	S	30.2	2.5	21.2
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	\$ \$	S S - S	S S - S	S S - S	\$ 8 - \$	S S - S	27.4 27.4 - 31.6
Air (includes truck and air)	22.2 S	.2 S	27.8 S	- S	36.3 S	- S	8.3 S
Multiple modes	11.3	.5	19.5	-	14.4	.3	6.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	12.0 48.7 42.7 –	.5 - - -	19.6 48.5 41.5 —	- - - -	19.8 33.5 S —	.3 .1 S -	6.6 24.2 21.6 –
Other and unknown modes	21.1	.5	38.1	.8	16.1	.2	27.4
SCTG 01, LIVE ANIMALS AND LIVE FISH							
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Single modes	-	_	_	_	-	-	_
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Rail	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)					- s	- s	- S
Multiple modes	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail			_ _ _	_ _	_ _		_ _
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	= =	_ _ _	= =	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _
Other and unknown modes	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
SCTG 02, CEREAL GRAINS							
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	31.6 - 31.6
Rail	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)			_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ S	_ _ S	_ _ S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple medes	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 dominion	1						
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	-miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 03, OTHER AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS							
Total	43.2	_	s	s	38.6	_	s
Single modes	46.6	10.6	s	s	39.1	10.2	s
Truck	46.6	10.7	s	s	40.0	10.7	s
For-hire truck Private truck	S S	S S	35.1 S	17.4 S	30.9 S	12.6 S	19.6 26.1
Rail	S	S	S	S	s	S	31.6
Water	_	_	_ _		_	_	
Great Lakes Deep draft			_ _		_ _		
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	31.6 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	30.1
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	30.1
Truck and rail	Ξ	-	-	_	_	=	=
Rail and water	Ξ.	_	-		_	_	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	42.7	6.9	25.4
SCTG 04, ANIMAL FEED AND PRODUCTS OF ANIMAL ORIGIN, N.E.C.							
Total	48.0	_	44.6	-	s	s	48.5
Single modes	47.6	.4	44.2	.4	s	s	49.0
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	47.8 S 48.9	1.0 S 10.0	44.4 S 45.1	.6 S 9.9	S S 46.8	S S 9.0	49.1 23.3 28.6
Rail	s	s	s	S	s	S	31.6
Water Shallow draft	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Great Lakes Deep draft		=	=		= =	=	
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S	31.6 S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	-	_	-	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
Truck and rail	_	_	_ _		_	_	
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_	_	_ _			_	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.5
SCTG 05, MEAT, FISH, SEAFOOD, AND THEIR PREPARATIONS							
Total	18.5	_	19.5	-	30.9	-	35.0
Single modes	19.0	1.7	20.0	1.4	31.2	.7	34.9
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	19.0 23.7 30.9	1.7 7.8 8.2	20.0 24.4 24.5	1.4 7.4 7.6	31.2 31.6 34.9	7.4	34.9 12.3 36.4
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Water Shallow draft	-				_	-	_
Great Lakes Deep draft		=	= =	_ _ _	_ _ _	=	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)		=	=		_ S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	29.8
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S -	S	S -	S	S	29.8
Truck and rail. Truck and water	[ =	-	_ _	_	_ =	[ =	
Rail and water	=		_ _	_	_	_ =	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

	,						
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 06, MILLED GRAIN PRODUCTS AND PREPARATIONS, AND BAKERY PRODUCTS							
Total	49.6	_	s	s	43.9	-	s
Single modes	49.6	.5	s	s	43.9	.7	s
Truck	49.6 35.3 S	.5 12.5 S	S 35.8 S	S 14.7 S	43.9 38.0 S	.7 14.9 S	S 24.5 30.9
Rail	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)			_ _		_ S	_ S	s S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	29.9
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	s	s	s	s	s	29.9
Truck and railTruck and water	_	_		_	_	_	
Rail and water Other multiple modes			_ _		_ _		
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
SCTG 07, OTHER PREPARED FOODSTUFFS AND FATS AND OILS							
Total	16.4	_	22.5	_	16.5	_	s
Single modes	16.3	.2	22.4	.3	16.5	.1	s
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	16.5 25.2 27.2	.7 7.3 9.4	23.5 15.0 40.0	1.8 5.0 8.9	18.0 19.4 29.2	4.6 4.2 4.9	\$ 10.2 46.2
Rail	22.0	.7	20.7	1.8	31.1	4.6	21.2
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _ _	_ _ _	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _ _	_ _	_ _ _	_ _	_ S	_ S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	25.9
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	S	S	S	S	25.9
Truck and railTruck and water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Rail and water Other multiple modes			_ _				
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 08, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES							
Total	25.6	-	20.7	-	35.7	-	46.6
Single modes	30.4	9.3	25.0	9.4	37.5	9.8	38.7
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	30.9 41.2 42.8	9.1 13.6 14.6	25.5 41.1 47.7	9.2 14.4 15.5	36.7 S S	9.4 S S	38.5 25.9 37.4
Rail	s	S	S	S	s	S	28.1
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	=	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _
Deep draft  Air (includes truck and air)	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ S	_ _ S	_ _ S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.5
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	s	s	s	s	31.5
Truck and rail	-		_	-			-
Truck and water Rail and water Otherwitists	] =	_	-	_	_	_	<u> </u>
Other multiple modes	- s	- S	s	- S	s	s	31.6
Guier and direnown MOUCS	, 5		. 5		. 3	. 3	31.0

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are snown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodit	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	Average miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation	
SCTG 09, TOBACCO PRODUCTS								
Total	30.6	_	s	s	33.0	_	17.9	
Single modes	30.6	.3	s	s	33.5	7.5	s	
Truck	30.6 45.1 43.4	.3 13.9 13.7	S S 43.3	S S 12.8	33.5 33.9 47.7	7.5 9.2 1.7	S S 29.0	
Rail	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	
Water	_ _							
Great Lakes Deep draft						=		
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _		_ _		- S	- S	- S	
Multiple modes	42.4	.3	43.0	.5	42.5	7.5	15.8	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	42.4	.3	43.0	.5	42.5	7.5	15.8	
Truck and water Rail and water						_		
Other multiple modes	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
SCTG 10, MONUMENTAL OR BUILDING STONE								
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.5	
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.5	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	S - S	31.5 - 31.5	
Rail	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	
Water	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _			
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _ _		_ _		_ S	_ S	_ S	
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	
Truck and railTruck and water	_	_	_	-	_ _	_	_	
Rail and water			_			_	_	
Other and unknown modes	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	
SCTG 11, NATURAL SANDS								
Total	24.0	-	43.9	-	37.7	_	33.3	
Single modes	23.7	2.4	43.9	.6	37.9	.3	32.6	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck.	28.6 35.3 38.0	10.0 16.2 11.4	46.0 S 47.1	9.3 S 12.7	43.1 46.9 40.8	12.4 17.1 10.4	33.0 S 22.6	
Rail	37.2	10.2	37.7	9.5	38.6	12.7	23.7	
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	S	31.6 S	
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ S _	S -	S -	S -	- S -	- S -	31.6 -	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodition	ly i low ourvey]		1				
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 12, GRAVEL AND CRUSHED STONE							
Total	9.6	_	10.5	_	13.8	_	9.6
Single modes	9.0	1.6	9.2	1.9	14.1	1.0	9.0
Truck	9.2	1.9	9.3	2.0	16.2	6.9	10.2
For-hire truck Private truck	18.9 12.8	4.8 6.2	17.6 12.9	4.4 6.1	36.3 21.3	6.4 7.6	18.2 9.1
Rail	27.8	1.1	26.3	.9	43.8	7.0	32.7
Water Shallow draft	-	_	-	_	_ _	-	_
Great Lakes Deep draft	=		_		=	_	
Air (includes truck and air)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pipeline	_	_	_	_	S	S	S
Multiple modes	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	=				_	_	
Truck and water Rail and water	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Other multiple modes	] =		_		=	<u> </u>	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 13, NONMETALLIC MINERALS N.E.C.							
Total	s	s	s	s	41.4	_	24.6
Single modes	s	s	s	s	41.4	14.9	28.2
Truck	S 43.5 S	S 11.7 S	\$ 43.0 \$	S 10.8 S	38.8 44.2 S	11.9 13.2 S	27.7 21.7 25.7
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	s	25.8
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)			_ _		- S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Truck and rail	_				_	_	
Rail and water	_	_	_		_	_	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	29.9
SCTG 14, METALLIC ORES AND CONCENTRATES							23.3
	44.7		40.5		41.1		40.5
Total	44.7	_	40.5	_	41.1	_	40.5
Truck	44.7	_	40.5	_	41.1		40.5
For-hire truck Private truck	44.7 S	S	41.8 S	3.0 S	40.9 S	.2 S	43.6 31.6
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)					_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck and rail	] =		_			_	
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_		_	_		_	_ _
	_				_	_	
Other and unknown modes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 commodities	<u> </u>		т.		Ton	ilaa	
	Val	ue	10	ons	TON-	miles	Average miles
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 15, COAL							
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Single modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
For-hire truck Private truck	_	=	_ _				
Rail	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_ _	_ _	_ _	_	
Great Lakes	=	=			_ _		_
Air (includes truck and air)	=	=			- S	- S	Š
Multiple modes	_	_	-	-	_	_	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	-	_	-	_	_	-	_
Truck and water	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_	_	_ _		_	_	
Other and unknown modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
SCTG 17, GASOLINE AND AVIATION TURBINE FUEL							
Total	14.9	_	15.6	_	26.6	_	20.5
Single modes	14.9	-	15.6	-	26.6	-	20.5
Truck . For-hire truck	14.6 20.1 25.6	1.1 8.6 8.4	15.5 23.5 24.5	.7 7.9 7.7	26.6 39.7 30.1	.1 10.6 10.6	19.3 19.8 24.9
Rail	_	_	-	-	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	=	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck and rail. Truck and water	_	_			_	_	_
Rail and water	] =	=	=	_	=	=	=
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
SCTG 18, FUEL OILS							
Total	43.9	_	44.8	_	29.7	_	47.7
Single modes	44.1	.6	44.9	.6	29.8	.1	45.8
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	44.4 17.4 S	.8 10.6 S	45.1 17.4 S	.7 10.3 S	29.8 32.6 47.2	.1 9.8 9.6	43.5 18.8 S
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	=	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _ s	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S	S	S	S	s	S	31.6
Truck and rail	_	_	_ _	_ _	_	_	_
Rail and water		_	_ _		_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 dominious	ly i low ourvey]		1				_
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 19, COAL AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, N.E.C.							
Total	s	s	49.8	_	s	s	s
Single modes	s	s	49.6	2.1	s	S	s
	s	S	49.9	3.4	s	S	S
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	S	S S	\$ \$ \$	S.4 S	S S	S S	38.5 S
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water Shallow draft Shallow draft	_	_	_	_ _ _	_ _ _	_	_
Great Lakes Deep draft	=		_	_	=		_
Air (includes truck and air)	s	s	s	s	- s	s	s
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S S	S	S S	S S	S S	S	S
Truck and rail. Truck and water	5 -	S - -	- -	- -	- -	S - -	31.6
Rail and water Other multiple modes	=	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	30.0
SCTG 20, BASIC CHEMICALS							
Total	26.5	-	38.1	-	21.3	-	s
Single modes	27.0	2.4	38.2	.3	21.3	.1	s
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	32.0 41.3 38.0	9.8 12.1 10.1	36.5 S 45.0	9.7 S 10.9	26.7 32.1 38.9	9.4 9.1 7.2	\$ 40.1 \$
Rail	40.9	7.4	39.5	8.0	38.8	9.4	24.3
Water	s	S	S	S	s	S	31.6
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	31.6 - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	22.8 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	S	S	s	S	S
Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water	s	S	S	s	S	S	31.6
Rail and water Other multiple modes	=	_	_		_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	33.2
SCTG 21, PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS							
Total	20.7	_	35.1	_	38.6	_	45.1
Single modes	19.6	1.6	36.5	6.1	39.4	7.5	s
Truck For-hire truck	20.7 20.8 38.6	3.5 7.3 7.2	36.5 39.7 25.5	6.0 9.8 7.7	39.5 40.2 33.0	7.5 8.6 4.4	S S S
Rail	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	= =	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	47.3	3.2	31.8	.2	34.7 S	.4 S	18.4 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	47.9
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	47.9
Truck and water Rail and water	_						
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	29.9

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

	1						
	Val	ue	Тс	ons	Ton-	miles	A
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 22, FERTILIZERS							
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	24.4
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	24.4
Truck	48.3 45.8	4.0 2.3	S	S S S	47.3 47.2	1.4	24.5 24.9
Private truck	\$ S	S S	Š	Š	48.4	.5	40.9
Rail	S	S	S	S	S	S	23.7
Water	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	27.9 27.9
Great Lakes	_	_		_	_ _	_	
Air (includes truck and air)					_ S	_ S	_ S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck and rail			_ _		_ _		
Rail and water	_				_ _		
Other and unknown modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
SCTG 23, CHEMICAL PRODUCTS AND PREPARATIONS, N.E.C.							
Total	21.9	_	38.7	_	28.6	_	26.8
Single modes	25.0	6.5	39.4	2.9	29.7	2.4	24.8
Truck	25.5 28.9	7.5 9.9	37.4 39.7	9.1 10.9	33.6 35.9	9.9 10.7	25.6 20.0
Private truck	44.4	3.9	s s	S S	s s	S S	16.4 30.7
Water	S	s.o	s	s	s	s	31.6
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - s	_ _ S	- - S	- - S	- - s	_ _ S	31.6
Air (includes truck and air)	s	s	s	s	s	S	33.5
Pipėline	Š	Š	Š	Š	Š	Š	S
Multiple modes	44.2	6.3	38.2	2.8	47.7	2.4	S
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	S S	S S	S S	S S	43.0 S	1.9 S	S 30.5
Truck and water	_	_	_ _	_	_ _	_	_
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	48.9	.2	s	S	s	S	S
SCTG 24, PLASTICS AND RUBBER							
Total	s	S	43.8	-	s	S	16.1
Single modes	S	S	44.6	1.1	S	S	21.6
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	S S 15.2	S S 7.4	45.1 S 21.3	2.1 S 8.0	S S 29.4	S S 5.5	22.1 11.6 S
Rail	s	s	s	S	s	s	21.9
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	48.8	.1	S -	S -	S	S	13.4 S
Multiple modes	44.9	1.7	s	s	49.4	1.2	8.7
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	48.8	1.6	S	S	S	S	8.8
Truck and rail .  Truck and water  Pail and water	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S	27.9 31.6
Rail and water Other multiple modes	=	=	=	_	_	=	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	47.0

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 commodities	ly r low ourvey]						
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 25, LOGS AND OTHER WOOD IN THE ROUGH							
Total	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Single modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Truck	s	s	s	s	s	S	s
For-hire truck Private truck	S	S	S	S S S	S	S	S 29.8
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	S	31.6
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Great Lakes Deep draft			_ _		_ _		
Air (includes truck and air)		_	_ _		- S	s	- S
Multiple modes	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Truck and rail	] =	-	-	_	_	_	_ =
Rail and water	] =	_	_		_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
SCTG 26, WOOD PRODUCTS							
Total	10.0	_	44.0	_	35.6	_	18.1
Single modes	10.1	.7	44.3	.8	36.0	1.3	23.1
Truck	12.1 17.1 20.7	4.8 6.8 6.1	32.0 16.2 S	13.9 11.2 S	15.4 17.3 24.2	12.0 10.7 4.0	25.5 12.4 33.6
Rail	s	s	s	S	s	s	s
Water	_	_	-	_	=	-	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	=	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S	29.8 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	47.3	.2	34.4
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	s	s	S S	s	s	34.4
Truck and rail	S -	S -	S _	S -	S -	S _	29.8
Rail and water			_		_ _	_	-
Other and unknown modes	44.6	.4	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 27, PULP, NEWSPRINT, PAPER, AND PAPERBOARD							
Total	23.0	_	24.6	-	24.7	-	9.9
Single modes	23.6	1.2	24.8	.6	24.8	.2	11.6
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	25.4 28.9 25.1	3.4 5.4 3.8	26.5 27.4 41.0	4.3 4.3 2.4	28.4 29.1 26.5	4.9 4.9 .6	12.6 9.3 18.5
Rail	36.1	3.1	40.1	3.8	37.7	4.8	22.0
Water	s	S	S	S	s	S	31.6
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	S - -	31.6 - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	29.8 S
Multiple modes	s	s	42.9	_	35.3	-	24.0
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	42.9	_	35.3	-	24.0
Truck and rail .  Truck and water	] =	_	_ _	_	_ =	<u> </u>	_ =
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_	_	_	 		_	
Other and unknown modes	36.9	.6	s	s	s	s	29.8

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Listinates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commoditi	Val	110	То	ons	Ton	miles	
	Vali	l I	10	1	1011-	Times	Average miles
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 28, PAPER OR PAPERBOARD ARTICLES							
Total	24.3	_	24.7	_	21.8	_	31.4
Single modes	23.4	.9	24.2	.6	21.3	.8	31.1
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	23.4 20.5 33.8	.9 7.8 7.6	24.2 19.6 38.5	.6 9.3 9.0	21.3 21.0 46.6	.8 5.7 5.3	31.3 9.2 31.6
Rail	_	-	_	_	-	_	_
Water Shallow draft	-	_	_ _		_	-	=
Great Lakes Deep draft	= =	_ _ _	_ _ _	- - -	- - -		- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	30.6 S
Multiple modes	36.4	.3	21.3	-	29.3	.3	22.9
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	37.9	.3	24.1	_	35.0	_	22.9
Truck and water Rail and water	S	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
Other multiple modes	=	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	46.7
SCTG 29, PRINTED PRODUCTS							
Total	31.4	_	19.3	-	25.9	-	16.8
Single modes	19.4	11.4	23.8	8.1	29.3	9.9	25.0
Truck	19.9 22.5 26.6	11.5 9.1 5.4	24.0 25.4 29.9	8.2 7.9 2.6	29.6 29.6 42.8	9.9 9.8 .4	38.7 14.0 S
Rail	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	_ _ _		_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	S S	28.9 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	16.0
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	S	S	S	S	16.0
Truck and rail	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_		_ _	_ _	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	46.6
SCTG 30, TEXTILES, LEATHER, AND ARTICLES OF TEXTILES OR LEATHER							
Total	20.2	_	12.8	-	11.1	_	7.6
Single modes	20.2	.8	13.2	.7	10.9	1.1	9.7
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	20.4 6.6 S	.9 6.0 S	13.2 11.2 27.2	.7 5.2 4.8	10.9 9.7 29.0	1.4 4.0 3.7	9.8 6.9 13.6
Rail	s	S	S	S	S	S	32.4
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes	- - -	- - -	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Deep draft  Air (includes truck and air)	S	- S	41.2		37.9	.2	25.3
Pipeline	25.2	1.0	29.4	6	S <b>34.0</b>	S 1.1	7.7
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	26.0	.8	31.8	.6	40.3	1.1	7.7
Truck and vater Rail and water	S S -	S S -	\$ \$ \$	S S -	46.6 S	.5 S	22.4 42.8 -
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	17.4	.3	30.7	.5	22.1	.2	30.9

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodition			т.		Ton		
	Val	ue T	10	ons	1011-	miles	Average miles
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 31, NONMETALLIC MINERAL PRODUCTS							
Total	40.3	_	35.5	_	39.9	_	32.3
Single modes	41.8	2.6	34.1	4.1	39.4	1.0	s
Truck	42.2	2.6	35.2	4.2	42.6	8.4	s
For-hire truck Private truck	34.9	S 10.1	31.4 40.0	13.7 12.4	S 28.5	S 9.8	17.6 23.4
Rail	s	S	s	S	S	S	30.4
Water	_		_	_	_	_	_
Great Lakes Deep draft					_ _	_	_ _
Air (includes truck and air).	S -	S -	S -	S -	s s	S S	29.8 S
Multiple modes	39.0	1.4	s	s	s	s	36.0
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	42.4 S	1.4 S	41.9 S	_ S	S	S	15.0 31.6
Truck and water Rail and water	S -	S	S S	S S	S	S	31.4
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Other and unknown modes	49.1	1.4	s	s	s	s	s
SCTG 32, BASE METAL IN PRIMARY OR SEMIFINISHED FORMS AND IN FINISHED BASIC SHAPES							
Total	27.0	_	22.4	-	28.0	-	24.6
Single modes	27.6	3.5	22.1	1.5	27.9	.8	27.2
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	27.7 30.8 42.3	3.5 6.5 7.1	22.8 22.1 44.7	2.6 7.8 8.7	28.5 30.7 S	2.8 4.8 S	27.2 7.2 23.3
Rail	s	s	s	s	47.3	3.0	30.6
Water	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ 	_ _ _	=	- - -
Air (includes truck and air)	42.5 —	=	45.6 —	=	43.9 S	.1 S	15.5 S
Multiple modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	43.2
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	s	S	S	S	S	S	35.1
Truck and rail. Truck and water	s	S	S	S	S	S	31.6
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_	_		_	=	=	=
Other and unknown modes	s	S	s	S	45.5	.7	s
SCTG 33, ARTICLES OF BASE METAL							
Total	18.3	-	30.1	-	32.7	-	14.9
Single modes	19.2	3.9	31.5	3.6	35.7	6.0	15.8
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	19.2 19.4 30.7	3.9 7.2 4.5	31.5 32.6 48.5	3.6 7.8 6.5	35.8 35.7 S	6.1 6.3 S	16.1 11.5 S
Rail	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Water Shallow draft	_ _		_ _	_	-	_	_ _
Great Lakes Deep draft			_ _		_ _		_ _
Air (includes truck and air)	40.3 S	s	S S	S S	S S	S S	21.0 S
Multiple modes	42.0	3.8	s	s	s	s	11.7
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	42.0	3.8	S -	S -	S -	S -	11.7
Truck and water			- -		_	_	_ _
Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	44.0	1.2	s	s	s	s	48.4

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

Estimates are snown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodit	Val	ue	Tons		Ton-miles			
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation	
SCTG 34, MACHINERY								
Total	4.9	_	9.2	-	14.1	_	12.5	
Single modes	5.5	2.9	9.6	1.4	14.4	1.4	15.4	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	5.5 9.5 30.8	2.9 6.3 4.7	9.6 13.2 31.2	1.5 5.8 5.5	14.4 17.5 35.7	1.4 4.7 4.7	14.9 31.4 21.6	
Rail	S	S	S	s	s	S	31.6	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	_ _ _ _	- - -	_ _ _ _	_ _ _ _	- - - -	
Air (includes truck and air)	29.5		44.4		40.4 S	_ S	10.5 S	
Multiple modes	23.7	2.6	37.1	1.2	40.3	1.2	26.5	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	24.2 S S -	2.6 S S	36.8 S S	1.1 S S -	36.6 S S	.4 S S	26.4 25.8 31.6 -	
Other multiple modes	31.9	.6	29.6	.6	44.2	.6	s	
SCTG 35, ELECTRONIC AND OTHER ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND COMPONENTS AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT								
Total	17.1	_	12.3	-	13.2	_	10.6	
Single modes	16.9	2.2	12.9	1.4	13.6	1.5	18.0	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	16.1 15.7 24.2	2.7 1.9 1.4	12.9 15.2 20.6	1.8 3.3 3.0	13.1 12.7 28.3	2.1 2.1 .6	19.7 8.5 S	
Rail	s	S	43.5	.5	S	S	26.7	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - - -	
Air (includes truck and air)	29.7	1.7	47.6 -	.7	42.1 S	.8 S	9.3 S	
Multiple modes	16.7	2.4	19.5	.5	26.0	.9	7.0	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	16.7	2.4 - -	19.5 - -	.5 - -	26.0 - -	.9 _ _	7.0 - -	
Rail and waterOther multiple modes	_ _	_	_	_	_	_	_ _	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	40.6	1.2	49.8	1.0	45.6	
SCTG 36, MOTORIZED AND OTHER VEHICLES (INCLUDING PARTS)								
Total	19.4	-	23.6	-	35.1	-	38.6	
Single modes	17.6	5.4	23.0	3.6	36.2	1.6	37.0	
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	17.5 21.4 29.0	5.4 7.8 6.1	23.0 26.4 36.8	3.6 6.7 5.0	36.3 38.2 34.4	1.6 4.9 4.0	37.8 30.7 45.0	
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	S	31.6	
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	
Air (includes truck and air) Pipeline	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	S S	17.5 S	
Multiple modes	35.7	.6	36.5	.3	37.9	.5	19.2	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	35.7 S	.6 S	36.6 S	.3 S	38.3 S	.5 S	19.2 31.6	
Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	_ _ _				_ _ _			
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

Listinates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 dominoun	ly r low ourvey]					1	
	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-	Average miles	
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 37, TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT, N.E.C.							
Total	44.6	_	s	s	s	s	20.3
Single modes	46.1	11.8	s	s	s	s	25.2
Truck	s	S	S S	S	S	S	25.0 26.5
For-hire truck Private truck	S S	S S	S	S S S	S	S	27.6
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	S	31.6
Water	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Great Lakes	=	=	_		_	=	_
Deep draft	_	_				_	_
Air (includes truck and air)Pipeline	49.1	3.0	S -	S -	S S	S S	27.6 S
Multiple modes	42.9	11.8	s	s	s	s	21.6
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	42.9	11.8	S	S	S	S	21.6
Truck and water	] =		_		_ _		_
Rail and water	_	_			_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	31.6
SCTG 38, PRECISION INSTRUMENTS AND APPARATUS							
Total	35.6	_	43.3	_	s	s	28.8
Single modes	40.2	5.9	47.9	7.6	s	s	s
Truck . For-hire truck . Private truck	41.1 29.6 S	6.2 8.5 S	48.5 45.5 S	9.6 10.2 S	S S S	S S S	S 12.7 S
Rail	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air).	41.5	1.0	S -	S -	S S	S S	19.2 S
Multiple modes	18.4	5.4	39.1	7.1	42.8	7.5	11.8
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	18.4	5.4	39.1	7.1	42.8	7.5	11.8
Truck and water Rail and water	_	_	_		_	_	
Other multiple modes	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other and unknown modes	49.0	1.4	s	S	s	S	S
SIGNS							
Total	18.3	_	13.9	_	14.3	_	11.6
Single modes	18.8	1.0	14.3	1.3	15.3	4.2	12.0
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	18.9 13.5 38.5	1.1 7.2 6.7	14.4 19.0 33.9	1.3 8.1 7.7	15.4 17.8 34.6	4.2 6.9 5.9	11.9 5.6 14.5
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	S	29.7
Water Shallow draft Shallow draft			_		_ _		
Great Lakes Deep draft		=	_ _		_ _	=	
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	21.3 S
Multiple modes	34.7	.4	S	s	s	s	7.3
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	37.1 S	.3 S	39.9 S	- S	44.9 S	.1 S	6.2 31.6
Truck and water	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -	S -
Other multiple modes	_	-	-	-	_	-	_
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	26.2

See footnote at end of table.

Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002—Con.

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

Estimates are snown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodit	Val	ue	Tons		Ton-		
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
SCTG 40, MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS							
Total	14.2	_	29.9	-	22.2	_	7.4
Single modes	14.9	2.3	30.4	1.1	22.8	1.6	9.5
Truck	16.0 19.0 27.9	3.2 4.9 3.9	34.4 20.0 S	8.7 11.7 S	23.2 23.3 S	2.0 5.6 S	9.6 7.9 40.6
Rail	s	S	s	s	s	S	28.5
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - -	\$ \$ - -	S S - -	\$ \$ - -	S S - -	29.8 29.8 – –
Air (includes truck and air)	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	S S	15.2 S
Multiple modes	14.1	2.6	15.3	1.0	13.8	1.1	6.3
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	14.1	2.6	15.3	1.0	13.8	1.1	6.2
Truck and railTruck and water	S	S	S	S	S	s	31.6
Rail and water Other multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Other and unknown modes	s	s	47.6	.6	s	s	s
SCTG 41, WASTE AND SCRAP							
Total	s	s	44.2	_	45.8	_	36.8
Single modes	s	s	44.2	-	45.8	-	36.8
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	S S S	S S S	44.2 S S	- S S	45.8 47.0 S	7.3 S	36.8 33.2 S
Rail	_	_	-	-	_	-	-
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	_ _		_ _		_ S	- S	- S
Multiple modes	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	_	_	-	_	-	-	_
Truck and railTruck and water	_	_	-	_ _	_ _	_ _	
Rail and waterOther multiple modes		_	-	_ _	_	_	
Other and unknown modes	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
SCTG 43, MIXED FREIGHT							
Total	12.7	_	21.5	-	19.4	_	22.5
Single modes	12.8	.7	21.5	-	19.6	.3	31.5
Truck	12.8 25.2 19.7	.7 6.5 6.3	21.5 37.5 25.6	6.9 6.9	19.6 30.9 27.1	.3 9.3 9.3	31.1 15.8 19.4
Rail	_	_	_	-	_	-	-
Water	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	_ _ _		_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _		_ _ _
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S	S S	19.8 S
Multiple modes	34.9	.7	21.2	-	25.8	.3	17.7
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier	34.9	.7	21.2	_	25.8	.3	17.7
Truck and rail. Truck and water		_		_ _	_	_	
Rail and water		_		_ _	_		
Other and unknown modes	44.9	_	40.7	_	s	s	s

See footnote at end of table.

# Table B-6. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Two-Digit Commodity and Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002 - Con.

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

	Val	ue	To	ons	Ton-		
SCTG code, description, and mode of transportation		Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Average miles per shipment— coefficient of variation
COMMODITY UNKNOWN							
Total	39.3	-	s	s	s	s	33.4
Single modes	40.4	4.0	s	s	s	s	47.5
Truck For-hire truck Private truck	34.2 47.4 20.8	11.6 11.6 12.5	30.7 42.8 33.2	18.5 9.4 16.9	S S 47.1	S S 6.2	S 22.2 42.4
Rail	s	S	s	S	s	s	31.6
Water Shallow draft Great Lakes Deep draft	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Air (includes truck and air)	S -	S -	S -	S -	S S	S S	29.6 S
Multiple modes	31.3	4.0	46.2	.2	33.1	1.8	48.1
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier Truck and rail Truck and water Rail and water Other multiple modes	31.3 - - - -	4.0 - - - -	46.2 - - - -	.2 - - - -	33.1 - - - -	1.8 - - - -	48.1 - - - -
Other and unknown modes	s	s	s	s	s	s	32.1

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Table B-7. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Outbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Destination for State of Origin: 2002

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Val	IIA	То	ins	Ton-miles		
State of destination	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	
Total	5.1	_	11.8	_	10.6	_	
NEW ENGLAND STATES							
Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	14.1 22.9 15.0 25.2 20.9 S	- .2 - S	17.8 35.4 8.5 43.3 20.3 49.5	- - - - -	17.7 33.4 8.7 44.7 21.1 49.2	.1 .1 .3 -	
MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES							
New Jersey	12.3 11.3 15.3	.4 .2 .8	11.6 9.5 7.4	.1 .1 .2	12.7 10.1 6.9	.3 .3 .3	
EAST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin	12.9 33.4 14.1 10.5 13.3	.3 .4 .3 .2 .1	19.6 15.7 16.7 13.4 18.2	.1 .1 .1 .1	21.6 12.8 16.9 15.1 18.1	.7 .2 .4 .3 .2	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	19.6 19.3 9.0 15.7 21.8 44.5 12.3	.1    	32.7 28.8 21.8 23.9 37.7 48.3 S	- - - - - - S	30.4 29.6 23.3 26.3 41.0 49.6	.3 .1 .1 .1 .1 .5	
SOUTH ATLANTIC STATES							
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland North Carolina South Carolina Virginia West Virginia	12.9 41.5 15.6 11.9 19.0 9.3 10.6 9.2 10.8	- -7 .5 .2 3.0 .4 .5	24.6 34.9 21.0 13.7 11.8 14.7 15.4 9.4	- .6 .3 - 2.5 .6 .5	23.7 37.2 27.1 9.4 12.1 26.4 15.0 8.6 19.0	1.9 6 – 2.7 6 .5 .2	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Alabama Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee	26.5 11.9 18.0 12.6	.3 .1 _ .3	13.6 9.0 16.1 14.1	- - - .2	16.6 10.6 16.2 13.4	.2 .1 .1 .3	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	19.9 17.8 28.2 10.9	- - .3	27.4 29.8 S 15.0	- S .2	29.1 30.2 S 14.5	.3 .2 S .9	
MOUNTAIN STATES							
Arizona . Colorado . Idaho . Montana . Nevada . New Mexico . Utah . Wyoming .	38.2 13.8 16.9 34.1 26.5 30.5 15.9	.2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	48.7 18.1 17.7 S 22.6 38.9 26.7 S	.1 - - S - - - - S	47.3 18.1 18.1 S 22.4 38.7 27.7	.8 .1 - S - - - S	
PACIFIC STATES							
Alaska California Hawaii Oregon Washington	43.3 16.7 29.9 13.4 20.1	.4 - - .1	S 24.5 26.1 29.5 29.3	S .2	\$ 24.1 26.5 29.7 29.1	S 1.6 - .3 .4	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Table B-8. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Inbound Shipment Characteristics by State of Origin for State of Destination: 2002

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey]

	Val	ue	То	ins	Ton-miles		
State of origin	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	Coefficient of variation of number	Standard error of percentage	
Total	5.7	-	9.4	_	8.1	_	
NEW ENGLAND STATES							
Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	32.8 26.0 31.7 13.0 18.5 S	.2 - .4 - - S	36.6 S 27.9 27.6 37.3 37.8	S - - -	39.8 S 33.5 26.2 39.1 35.6	.1 S .2 - -	
MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES							
New Jersey New York Pennsylvania	12.5 19.9 10.9	.3 .3 .3	26.6 41.3 13.3	.3 .1	25.8 37.2 13.6	.3 .8 .3	
EAST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin	14.7 13.9 14.6 24.5 18.0	.4 .3 .2 .9 .1	17.9 15.1 36.1 49.0 24.6	.1 - .1 2.7 .1	18.2 17.6 37.4 S 27.4	.5 .4 .5 S .4	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL STATES							
lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	24.3 21.3 25.4 9.2 30.2 41.2 31.6	.2 .1 .2 - - -	14.7 34.2 33.5 27.8 32.9 S S	- .1 - - - S S	14.8 38.1 33.4 29.8 33.2 S	.1 .9 .4 .2 .1 .1 S	
SOUTH ATLANTIC STATES							
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland North Carolina South Carolina Virginia West Virginia	30.8 S 24.7 16.2 15.2 9.3 15.0 7.3 21.6	- S .6 8 .1 2.3 .9 4	25.1 39.4 25.4 19.2 32.1 14.7 16.8 21.2 38.0	- - 2 6 6 .2 4.3 1.0 .7 1.7	20.7 40.2 25.7 19.3 33.4 26.4 14.5 27.3 35.9	- .4 .8 .3 .3 .2 .7 .1 .2 .4	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Alabama Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee	14.5 14.6 17.6 9.0	.2 .2 _ .3	12.0 24.2 22.4 18.9	.1 1.2 - .3	13.5 24.4 22.5 17.1	.4 2.1 .2 .4	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL STATES							
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	26.0 8.2 12.4 25.5	.1 - - .6	24.1 14.9 18.1 5.6	- - .1	25.6 14.2 21.9 9.9	.2 .4 - .6	
MOUNTAIN STATES							
Arizona . Colorado	37.7 20.1 8.2.2 32.2 9.35.5 21.4	.1 - S - - S -	S 22.6 34.8 32.7 S 48.4 47.1 43.7	\$ - - \$ - -	S 22.6 35.0 33.2 49.6 49.4 47.3 43.4	S .1 - - .1 .1 .4	
PACIFIC STATES							
Alaska California Hawaii Oregon Washington	\$ 8.6 \$ 19.3 26.4	S .1 S -	\$ 15.8 \$ 28.2 23.3	\$ - \$ -	\$ 15.9 \$ 29.7 23.4	\$ .7 \$ .2	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Table B-9. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Mode of Transportation for State of Origin: 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys]

	Value			Tons				Ton-miles		Average miles per shipment			
Mode of transportation	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	
	2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	
Total	5.1	8.3	10.8	11.8	5.0	13.8	10.6	4.7	12.1	7.2	10.5	16.1	
Single modes	5.2	8.8	11.5	11.3	5.0	13.1	10.9	4.8	12.6	8.3	8.2	14.2	
Truck. Rail Water Air (includes truck and air) Pipeline	5.4 22.2 S 22.2 S	9.0 9.0 S 32.6 42.1	12.0 26.4 S 17.8 S	9.8 S S 27.8 S	6.0 11.4 S 22.6 36.1	11.7 S S 28.5 S	8.8 30.2 S 36.3 S	4.6 9.8 S 18.9	10.3 39.0 S 41.4 S	8.4 21.2 27.4 8.3 S	6.0 14.7 30.5 4.3 S	14.3 16.7 22.0 11.3 S	
Multiple modes	11.3	7.2	12.0	19.5	7.9	25.0	14.4	15.6	22.8	6.6	5.7	10.1	
Parcel, U.S. Postal Service or courier . Truck and rail	12.0 48.7 42.7	7.4 14.2 S	12.6 49.4 S	19.6 48.5 41.5	5.9 28.7 S	25.2 45.5 S	19.8 33.5 S	7.1 32.3 S	28.7 26.0 S	6.6 24.2 21.6	5.8 24.2 23.3	10.1 39.4 29.0	
Other and unknown modes	21.1	4.2	21.9	38.1	7.1	117.5	16.1	27.0	12.8	27.4	14.0	25.9	

Note: The Introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at www.census.gov/cfs.

Table B-10. Estimated Measures of Reliability for Shipment Characteristics by Commodity Group for State of Origin: 2002 and 1997

[Estimates are shown as percents and are based on data from the 2002 and 1997 Commodity Flow Surveys]

-	Commodity description	Value			Tons				Ton-miles		Average miles per shipment			
SCTG code		Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	Coefficient of variation of number		Standard error of	
		2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	2002	1997	percent change	
	Total	5.1	8.3	10.8	11.8	5.0	13.8	10.6	4.7	12.1	7.2	10.5	16.1	
01-05	Agricultural products and fish	13.7	9.4	15.5	23.9	10.8	24.7	22.3	9.1	29.3	28.6	s	S	
06-09 10-14	Grains, alcohol, and tobacco products	19.7	18.5	28.0	20.7	17.8	23.5	11.1	14.0	16.7	36.3	17.4	184.8	
15-19	and metallic ores	20.1	20.0	27.7	14.2	9.7	15.4	22.2	13.4	10.3	23.1	18.8	14.3	
20-24	products	18.7	7.6	15.3	20.8	9.9	17.0	20.1	18.5	20.2	S	14.6	S	
25-30	products	15.6	6.8	25.8	22.3	9.8	30.6	29.2	14.0	42.9	13.8	12.7	22.8	
	textile and leather	14.9	28.7	24.6	29.3	11.4	35.1	20.8	7.2	23.3	7.5	6.7	12.3	
31-34 35-38	Base metal and machinery Electronic, motorized vehicles, and precision	10.4	5.7	14.4	28.9	19.9	55.7	19.1	6.8	27.3	12.6	8.1	13.8	
39-43	instruments Furniture, mixed freight and	13.3	26.7	24.0	18.9	8.0	25.6	29.5	9.3	36.7	13.2	12.0	19.1	
	misc. manufactured prod Commodity unknown	8.7 39.3	5.2 33.6	23.5 19.9	16.6 S	15.1 35.1	54.1 S	12.8 S	7.5 48.1	26.2 S	8.2 33.4	10.9 42.5	14.0 42.7	

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

Represents data cell equal to zero or less than 1 unit of measure.
 S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability or poor response quality.

# Appendix C. Sample Design, Data Collection, and Estimation

#### INTRODUCTION

The primary goal for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) is to estimate *shipping volumes* (value, tons, and ton-miles) by *commodity* and *mode of transportation* at varying levels of geographic detail. A secondary objective is to estimate the volume of shipments moving from one geographic area to another (i.e., flows of commodities between states, regions, etc.) by mode and commodity. A detailed description of the sample design for the 2002 CFS is provided below.

#### SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample for the 2002 Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) was selected using a stratified three-stage design in which the first-stage sampling units were establishments, the second-stage sampling units were groups of four 1-week periods (reporting weeks) within the survey year, and the third-stage sampling units were shipments.

# **First Stage**

# Sampling frame

To create the first-stage sampling frame, we extracted a subset of establishment records from the Business Register (formerly the Standard Statistical Establishment List) as of September 2001. The Business Register is a database of all known establishments located in the United States or its territories. (An establishment is a single physical location where business transactions take place or services are performed.) Establishments located in the United States, having nonzero payroll in 2000, and classified in mining (except oil and gas extraction), manufacturing, wholesale, or electronic shopping and mail order retail industries, as defined by the 1997 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), were included on the sampling frame. Auxiliary establishments (e.g. warehouses and central administrative offices) with shipping activity were also included on the sampling frame. Auxiliary establishments are establishments that are primarily involved in rendering support services for other establishments within the same company, instead of for the public, government, or other business firms. All other establishments included on the sampling frame are referred to as nonauxiliary establishments.

Some portion of establishments classified in the Retail Trade sector in the 1997 Economic Census was expected to be classified in the Wholesale Trade sector in the 2002 Economic Census. Because we wanted complete coverage of the Wholesale Trade sector as defined for the 2002 Economic Census, the 2002 CFS sampling frame also included establishments that were classified in particular retail industries (automotive parts and accessories, tires, floor coverings, building materials, nursery and garden, and office supplies) in the 1997 Economic Census and had characteristics indicating that they were likely to be classified as wholesale in the 2002 Economic Census. Of the establishments selected for the 2002 CFS from this set of establishments, only those that were classified as wholesale in the 2002 Economic Census were used in the production of estimates for this report.

Establishments classified in forestry, fishing, utilities, construction, transportation, services, and all other retail industries were not included on the sampling frame. Farms and government-owned entities (except government-owned liquor stores) were also excluded from the sampling frame. The resulting frame comprised approximately 760,000 establishments.

For each establishment we extracted sales, payroll, number of employees, a six-digit NAICS code, name and address, and a primary identifier. We also computed a measure of size for each establishment. The measure of size was designed to approximate an establishment's annual total value of shipments for the year 2000.

All of the establishments included on the sampling frame had state, county, and place geographic codes. We used these codes to assign each establishment to one of the 273 metropolitan areas (MAs) defined as a combination of the metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) and consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs). Establishments not located in an MA were assigned to MA 9999.

#### Stratification

We stratified the sampling frame by geography and industry. Geographic strata were defined by a combination of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the top 50 metropolitan areas (MAs) based on their population in Census 2000. If a particular MA was not one of the 50 largest, then it was collapsed with the remaining MAs and non-MAs within the state in which the particular MA resided. We refer to these collapsed strata as Rest of State (ROS) strata. When an MA crossed state boundaries, we considered the size of each part of the MA relative to the MAs total measure of size when determining whether or not to create strata in each state in which the MA was defined. The industry strata were determined as follows. Within each of the geographic strata, we started with a total of 45 industry groups based on 1997 NAICS: three mining (four-digit NAICS); 21 manufacturing (three-digit NAICS); 18 wholesale (four-digit NAICS); 1 retail (NAICS 4541); and 2 auxiliary (NAICS 4931 and 5511). We then implemented a rule that states a particular industry stratum will be defined within a geographic stratum if it contributes at least 2 percent to its corresponding state total measure of size or it contributes at least 2 percent to the national total measure of size for the industry. Industry groups not meeting these criteria were combined into at most 12 new collapsed industry strata using a clustering algorithm. Because of potential differences in shipping patterns between auxiliary and nonauxiliary establishments, we created two industry strata of auxiliary establishments in every geographic stratum. We refer to a particular geographic-by-industry combination as a primary stratum. Also note that a separate stratum was created at the national level for those Retail Trade sector establishments that we included in our sample.

# Sample size and allocation

To reduce the sampling variability of the estimates, we used a stratified design with a certainty component. Within each primary stratum, a boundary (or cutoff) that divides the certainty establishments from the noncertainty establishments was determined using the Lavallee-Hidiroglou algorithm. If an establishment's measure of size was greater than the cutoff, the establishment was selected with certainty. Establishments selected with certainty were sure to be selected and represent only themselves (i.e., had a selection probability of one and a sampling weight of one).

Because the 2002 sample was about half the size of the 1997 CFS sample, we were concerned about the ability of the sample to capture less frequent types of shipments (e.g., air, water, rail, and hazardous materials). After considering several different alternatives, we felt the best approach was to identify those establishments which made the bulk of these types of shipments in 1997 and then select them with certainty. To identify these establishments, we proceeded as follows.

We identified all establishments in the 1997 CFS sample that reported shipments made by air, water, or rail. We also identified those establishments that reported shipments of hazardous materials. For each of these establishments, we computed the percentage of the establishment's total value and tonnage accounted for by each of these types of shipments. Next, we matched these establishments to the sampling frame for the 2002 CFS and identified each establishment with measure of size less than the certainty boundary. For both value and tons, we then looked to see what percent of the total volume of shipments for each type of shipment was captured by selecting with certainty the top 50, top 100, or all establishments. We considered the top 50 establishments as those establishments making the largest volume of each type of shipment (air, water, rail, hazardous). Once these establishments were identified, we grouped them into one file and unduplicated them. This procedure added a total of about 500 certainty establishments.

Establishments not selected with certainty made up the noncertainty frame. We further stratified the noncertainty establishments within each primary stratum using the measure of size previously described. We refer to these measure-of-size strata as *substrata* of the primary strata. The measure of size stratification increased the efficiency of the sample design. The Dalenius-Hodges

cumulative  $\sqrt{f}$  rule was used to set the substratum boundaries. We then used optimum allocation to determine the sample size required within each substratum to meet a coefficient of variation constraint on an estimate of the total measure of size for the primary stratum. Within each substratum, a simple random sample of establishments was selected without replacement.

To arrive at the final sample size, we allocated additional establishments to some of the strata so that the minimum substratum sample size was two and the probability of selecting any establishment was no less than 1 in 100. In total, the first-stage sample comprised 51,005 establishments.

## **Second Stage**

The frame for the second stage of sampling consisted of 52-weeks from January 6, 2002 to January 4, 2003. Each establishment selected into the 2002 CFS sample was systematically assigned to report for four reporting weeks-one in each quarter of the reference year. Each of the 4-weeks was in the same relative position of the quarter. For example, an establishment might have been requested to report data for the 5th, 18th, 31st, and 44th weeks of the reference year. In this instance, each reporting week corresponds to the 5th week of each quarter. Prior to assignment of weeks to establishments, we sorted the selected sample by primary stratum (state x metropolitan area x industry) and measure-of-size.

# Third Stage

For each of the four reporting weeks in which an establishment was asked to report, we requested the respondent to construct a sampling frame consisting of all shipments made by the establishment in the reporting week. Each respondent was asked to count or estimate the total number of shipments comprising the sampling frame and to record this number on the questionnaire. For each assigned reporting week, if an establishment made *more than 40* shipments during that week, we asked the respondent to select a systematic sample of the establishment's shipments and to provide us with information only about the selected shipments. If an establishment made *40 or fewer* shipments during that week, we asked the respondent to provide information about *all* of the establishment's shipments made during that week; i.e., no sampling was required.

### **DATA COLLECTION**

Each establishment selected into the CFS sample was mailed a questionnaire for each of its four reporting weeks. We mailed each establishment a questionnaire once every quarter of 2002. For a given establishment, we requested that the respondent provide the following information about each of the establishment's reported shipments: shipment identification number, the date on which the shipment was made, value, weight, commodity, mode(s) of transportation, domestic destination or port of exit, an indication of whether the shipment was an export, and the United Nations or North America (UN/NA) number for hazardous material shipments. For a shipment that included more than one commodity, the respondent was instructed to report the commodity that made up the greatest percentage of the shipment's *weight*. For an export shipment, we also asked the respondent to provide the mode of export and the foreign destination city and country. See Appendix E for a copy of the questionnaire.

## IMPUTATION OF SHIPMENT VALUE OR WEIGHT

To correct for nonresponse to *either* the value *or* weight item for a given shipment reported in the CFS, the missing value or value that failed edit is replaced by a predicted value obtained from an appropriate model. Such a shipment is considered a "recipient" if its commodity code is valid and the other item is reported greater than zero and passed edit. The recipient's item that is missing or failed edit is imputed as follows. First, a "donor" shipment is randomly selected from shipments that were reported in the CFS with:

- The same commodity code as the recipient.
- Both value and weight items reported greater than zero and passed edit.
- Origin and value for the item reported by the recipient similar to those of the recipient.

Then, the donor's value and weight data are used to calculate a ratio, which is applied to the recipient's reported item, to impute the item that is missing or failed edit. If no donor is found, the median ratio for all shipments reported in the survey with the same commodity code as the recipient and with both value and weight items reported greater than zero is applied to the recipient's reported item. For either the value or weight item, about 3 percent of the shipment records input to the calculation of estimates have imputed data for the item.

### **ESTIMATION**

Estimated totals (e.g., value of shipments, tons, ton-miles) are produced as the sum of weighted shipment data (reported or imputed). Percent change and percent-of-total estimates are derived using the appropriate estimated totals. Estimates of average miles per shipment are computed by dividing an estimate of the total miles traveled by the estimated number of shipments. The annualized growth rate  $\hat{A}$  for estimates from year  $y_1$  to  $y_2$  is computed as:

$$\hat{A} = 100 * \left| \left( \frac{\hat{X}_{y_2}}{\hat{X}_{y_1}} - 1 \right) \right|$$

where  $\hat{X}_{y_1}$  and  $\hat{X}_{y_2}$  are estimates of the value of shipments, tons, ton-miles, or average miles per shipment for years  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , respectively. The annualized growth rate measures the annual rate of change between estimates from any 2 years by assuming a constant yearly rate of change.

Each *shipment* has associated with it a single *tabulation weight*, which was used in computing all estimates to which the shipment contributes. The tabulation weight is a product of seven different component weights. A description of each component weight follows.

CFS respondents provided data for a sample of shipments made by their respective establishments in the survey year. For each establishment, we produced an estimate of that establishment's total value of shipments for the entire survey year. To do this, we used four different weights, the *shipment weight*, the *shipment nonresponse weight*, the *quarter weight*, and the *quarter nonresponse weight*.

Like establishments, we identified shipments as either certainty or noncertainty. (See the Nonsampling Error section in Appendix B for a description of how certainty shipments were identified.) For noncertainty shipments, the *shipment weight* was defined as the ratio of the total number of shipments (as reported by the respondent) made by an establishment in a reporting week to the number of sampled shipments for the same week. This weight uses data from the sampled shipments to represent all the establishment's shipments made in the reporting week. However, a respondent may have failed to provide sufficient information about a particular sampled shipment. For example, a respondent may not have been able to provide value, weight, or a destination for one of the sampled shipments. If this data item could not be imputed, then this shipment did not contribute to tabulations and was deemed unusable. (A usable shipment is one that has valid entries for value, weight, and origin and destination ZIP Codes.) To account for these unusable shipments, we applied the shipment nonresponse weight. For noncertainty shipments from a particular establishment's reporting week, this weight is equal to the ratio of the number of sampled shipments for the reporting week to the number of usable shipments for the same week. The shipment weight for certainty shipments from a particular establishment's reporting week is equal to one.

The *quarter weight* inflates an establishment's estimate for a particular reporting week to an estimate for the corresponding quarter. For noncertainty shipments, the quarter weight is equal to 13. The quarter weight for most certainty shipments is also equal to 13. However, if a respondent was able to provide information about all large (or certainty) shipments made in the quarter containing the reporting week, then the quarter weight for each of these shipments was one. For each establishment, the quarterly estimates were added to produce an estimate of the establishment's value of shipments for the entire survey year. Whenever an establishment did not provide the Census Bureau with a response for each of its four reporting weeks, we computed a quarter nonresponse

weight. The *quarter nonresponse weight* for a particular establishment is defined as the ratio of the number of quarters for which the establishment was in business in the survey year to the total number of quarters (reporting weeks) for which we received usable shipment data from the establishment.

Using these four component weights, we computed an estimate of each establishment's value of shipments for the entire survey year. We then multiplied this estimate by a factor that adjusts the estimate using value of shipments and sales data obtained from other surveys and censuses conducted by the Census Bureau. This weight, the *establishment-level adjustment weight*, attempts to correct for any sampling or nonsampling errors that occur during the sampling of shipments by the respondent.

The adjusted value of shipments estimate for an establishment was then weighted by the *establishment weight*. This weight is equal to the reciprocal of the establishment's probability of being selected into the sample.

A final adjustment weight, the *industry-level adjustment weight*, uses information from other surveys and censuses conducted by the Census Bureau to account for establishments from which we did not receive a response (including establishments from which we did not receive any usable shipment data) and for changes in the population of establishments between the time the first-stage sampling frame was constructed (2001) and the year in which the data were collected (2002). Separate industry-level adjustment weights were determined for nonauxiliary and auxiliary establishments.

# Appendix D. Standard Classification of Transported Goods Code Information

The commodities shown in this report are classified using the Standard Classification of Transported Goods (SCTG) coding system. The SCTG coding system was created jointly by agencies of the United States and Canadian governments based on the Harmonized System of product classification that is used worldwide. The purpose of the SCTG coding system was to specifically address statistical needs in regard to products transported.

In 1993, Commodity Flow Survey (CFS) data were collected and reported using product classifications found in the Standard Transportation Commodity Classification (STCC) system. These classifications were developed in the early 1960s by the American Association of Railroads (AAR) to analyze commodity movements by rail. The original purpose of the STCC was for identification of commodities for purposes of assigning rates for Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) regulated rail carriers. The STCC continues to be used by the AAR as a tariff mechanism.

At the time that the Commodity Transportation Survey (CTS) (the CTS—the predecessor of the CFS) was first conducted in 1963, STCC codes were still useful for analyzing most important aspects of the U.S. transportation system. Since then, many changes have taken place that have gradually made the STCC code less useful for tracking domestic product movements across all modes (although it remains perfectly functional for tracking rail-only movements). These include the deregulation of trucking, the enactment of North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), changes in logistics practices, the emergence of plastics and composite materials to replace metals and glass, the obsolescence of many categories of wood products, and the very rapid recent development of high-tech electronic goods. Because the CFS is a shipper survey, the CFS collects information about shipments moving on all modes. As a consequence, STCC classifications frequently provide inadequate detail for identifying products that are significant for modes, such as truck and air. It is for these reasons that the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) has sponsored the development of a new product code to collect and report CFS data.

In 1997 and 2002, the CFS provided respondents with a listing of SCTG codes and descriptions at the five-digit level to use in assigning a commodity code for each shipment. For shipments of more than one commodity, we instructed respondents to use the five-digit code for the major commodity, defined as the commodity of greatest total weight in the shipment. For the data presented on this report, we aggregated the SCTG codes to the two-digit level.